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## INTRODUCTION

This document presents the description of the methodology used to construct the Policy Coherence for Development Index (PCDI), as well as the results and its classification. The document is completed by the following annexes:
> Annex 1. Metadata of the variables that compose the PCDI together with the links to the data sources;
$>$ Annex 2. Statistical analysis implemented for the development of the index.

RESULTS OF THE PCDI

| COUNTRY | GROUPS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ICDP } \\ & 0-100 \end{aligned}$ | INDEX ECONOMIC COMPONENT NORMALIZED (after weighting) 0-100 | INDEX SOCIAL COMPONENT NORMALIZED (after weighting) 0-100 | INDEX GLOBAL COMPONENT NORMALIZED (after weighting) 0-100 | INDEX <br> ENVIRONMENTAL COMPONENT NORMALIZED (after weighting) 0-100 | INDEX INDUSTRY AND INFRASTRUCTURES COMPONENT NORMALIZED (after weighting) 0-100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denmark | 1 | 89,60 | 91,17 | 95,09 | 93,67 | 92,42 | 75,64 |
| Sweden | 1 | 84,89 | 86,33 | 98,42 | 93,60 | 80,80 | 65,33 |
| Norway | 1 | 82,63 | 99,51 | 99,27 | 89,73 | 72,38 | 52,28 |
| Australia | 1 | 80,80 | 69,62 | 94,79 | 89,11 | 64,39 | 86,10 |
| Portugal | 1 | 80,43 | 72,10 | 89,80 | 84,64 | 86,50 | 69,09 |
| United Kingdom | 1 | 79,77 | 52,95 | 93,71 | 90,04 | 94,54 | 67,62 |
| Iceland | 1 | 79,65 | 85,64 | 100,00 | 88,98 | 66,46 | 57,16 |
| Italy | 1 | 79,34 | 83,64 | 94,60 | 83,48 | 79,52 | 55,46 |
| France | 1 | 78,26 | 81,22 | 90,54 | 90,87 | 86,16 | 42,53 |
| Latvia | 4 | 77,53 | 78,12 | 91,00 | 80,02 | 89,72 | 48,81 |
| Finland | 1 | 77,04 | 87,47 | 95,48 | 90,18 | 60,91 | 51,17 |
| Poland | 1 | 76,74 | 85,74 | 95,08 | 83,88 | 75,49 | 43,50 |
| Czech Republic | 1 | 76,72 | 100,00 | 91,12 | 87,46 | 58,13 | 46,87 |
| Greece | 1 | 76,61 | 76,28 | 87,75 | 66,82 | 87,28 | 64,91 |
| Lithuania | 4 | 75,98 | 90,54 | 93,01 | 78,07 | 88,61 | 29,67 |
| Argentina | 3 | 75,87 | 59,56 | 85,69 | 100,00 | 69,97 | 64,15 |
| Japan | 1 | 75,62 | 69,22 | 80,76 | 63,49 | 69,99 | 94,64 |
| Spain | 1 | 75,44 | 67,94 | 80,06 | 96,72 | 75,45 | 57,02 |
| Canada | 1 | 75,43 | 66,97 | 90,90 | 93,64 | 63,11 | 62,55 |
| Germany | 1 | 75,33 | 60,84 | 87,45 | 91,74 | 88,12 | 48,51 |
| Slovakia | 1 | 75,24 | 94,03 | 92,07 | 82,25 | 73,77 | 34,09 |
| Cyprus | 4 | 74,77 | 59,63 | 98,14 | 74,57 | 93,29 | 48,23 |
| Uruguay | 3 | 74,62 | 52,67 | 87,80 | 89,34 | 67,76 | 75,55 |
| Netherlands | 1 | 74,22 | 82,44 | 90,09 | 92,03 | 59,48 | 47,05 |
| Slovenia | 1 | 73,99 | 87,05 | 89,94 | 81,65 | 71,03 | 40,27 |
| New Zealand | 1 | 73,74 | 62,70 | 91,90 | 95,31 | 42,96 | 75,84 |
| Belgium | 1 | 73,72 | 84,11 | 92,81 | 98,72 | 52,54 | 40,42 |
| Georgia | 4 | 73,69 | 51,71 | 87,89 | 71,99 | 100,00 | 56,88 |
| Mexico | 1 | 73,47 | 53,40 | 68,51 | 94,95 | 74,45 | 76,02 |
| Republic of Moldova | 4 | 73,07 | 63,33 | 83,54 | 81,79 | 88,91 | 47,77 |
| Bulgaria | 4 | 72,91 | 64,25 | 84,12 | 81,90 | 86,10 | 48,17 |
| Croatia | 4 | 72,77 | 68,64 | 94,20 | 83,90 | 77,10 | 40,02 |
| Malta | 6 | 72,72 | 75,57 | 86,60 | 81,38 | 74,18 | 45,88 |


| COUNTRY | GROUPS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ICDP } \\ & \text { 0-100 } \end{aligned}$ | INDEX ECONOMIC COMPONENT NORMALIZED (after weighting) 0-100 | INDEX SOCIAL COMPONENT NORMALIZED (after weighting) 0-100 | INDEX GLOBAL COMPONENT NORMALIZED (after weighting) 0-100 | INDEX ENVIRONMENTAL COMPONENT NORMALIZED (after weighting) 0-100 | INDEX INDUSTRY AND INFRASTRUCTURES COMPONENT NORMALIZED (after weighting) 0-100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brazil | 1 | 72,56 | 49,78 | 77,96 | 89,91 | 73,78 | 71,34 |
| Israel | 1 | 72,43 | 69,33 | 88,29 | 27,87 | 79,65 | 97,01 |
| Romania | 4 | 72,00 | 69,01 | 78,13 | 77,72 | 97,54 | 37,63 |
| Ecuador | 3 | 71,76 | 61,26 | 77,03 | 84,59 | 67,83 | 68,12 |
| Albania | 4 | 71,46 | 47,54 | 69,41 | 83,87 | 99,41 | 57,07 |
| Cuba | 3 | 71,33 | 48,39 | 87,78 | 55,95 | 89,27 | 75,26 |
| Hungary | 1 | 71,27 | 58,61 | 91,74 | 87,88 | 82,57 | 35,54 |
| Serbia | 4 | 70,27 | 57,90 | 82,36 | 71,54 | 88,21 | 51,32 |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | 4 | 69,94 | 69,49 | 82,44 | 88,08 | 40,83 | 68,85 |
| Republic of Korea | 1 | 69,92 | 38,87 | 80,64 | 65,47 | 64,64 | 100,00 |
| Tunisia | 6 | 68,78 | 49,85 | 72,23 | 56,02 | 96,99 | 68,81 |
| Kyrgyzstan | 4 | 68,72 | 56,88 | 83,71 | 61,75 | 79,94 | 61,32 |
| Chile | 1 | 68,48 | 33,59 | 81,88 | 84,83 | 76,87 | 65,23 |
| Estonia | 1 | 68,42 | 85,35 | 95,90 | 71,92 | 79,17 | 9,74 |
| Macedonia, FYR | 4 | 68,24 | 51,07 | 83,94 | 82,34 | 64,29 | 59,55 |
| Russian Federation | 4 | 68,11 | 56,75 | 84,72 | 53,35 | 90,96 | 54,76 |
| Ukraine | 4 | 67,52 | 54,77 | 89,66 | 68,50 | 77,66 | 47,00 |
| Turkey | 1 | 67,38 | 49,62 | 63,52 | 62,75 | 84,56 | 76,42 |
| Costa Rica | 3 | 67,24 | 35,40 | 75,91 | 90,58 | 63,17 | 71,15 |
| Ireland | 1 | 67,20 | 54,65 | 89,75 | 87,52 | 70,06 | 34,04 |
| Belarus | 4 | 67,20 | 62,73 | 92,52 | 52,51 | 68,26 | 59,96 |
| South Africa | 1 | 67,11 | 46,04 | 67,29 | 88,14 | 70,83 | 63,27 |
| Algeria | 6 | 66,97 | 65,28 | 68,24 | 45,15 | 82,51 | 73,68 |
| Venezuela | 3 | 66,95 | 49,79 | 79,22 | 80,38 | 62,33 | 63,04 |
| Switzerland | 1 | 66,84 | 15,00 | 89,72 | 87,81 | 83,90 | 57,76 |
| Mauritius | 5 | 66,64 | 29,55 | 78,88 | 74,98 | 71,94 | 77,87 |
| Luxembourg | 1 | 66,63 | 41,08 | 89,15 | 97,83 | 51,82 | 53,27 |
| Tajikistan | 4 | 66,39 | 49,37 | 67,89 | 75,87 | 83,33 | 55,52 |
| Azerbaijan | 4 | 66,04 | 58,89 | 70,88 | 56,55 | 91,79 | 52,08 |
| Honduras | 3 | 65,98 | 48,26 | 57,98 | 84,88 | 62,02 | 76,73 |
| Panama | 3 | 65,21 | 38,68 | 73,14 | 87,31 | 62,67 | 64,24 |
| United States of America | 1 | 64,72 | 47,18 | 87,28 | 59,41 | 55,09 | 74,65 |
| Namibia | 5 | 64,58 | 74,26 | 54,17 | 59,94 | 96,26 | 38,25 |
| Austria | 1 | 64,22 | 71,66 | 91,18 | 88,64 | 54,43 | 15,20 |
| Philippines | 2 | 63,64 | 41,62 | 63,18 | 80,65 | 59,74 | 73,00 |
| China | 1 | 63,40 | 30,71 | 77,37 | 59,14 | 79,58 | 70,22 |
| Peru | 3 | 62,44 | 41,71 | 66,88 | 83,09 | 61,80 | 58,73 |
| Paraguay | 3 | 62,24 | 46,46 | 64,38 | 83,87 | 47,53 | 68,96 |
| Dominican Republic | 3 | 62,21 | 32,09 | 65,87 | 77,36 | 70,29 | 65,44 |
| Sri Lanka | 2 | 62,16 | 35,04 | 68,79 | 46,70 | 73,00 | 87,24 |
| Armenia | 4 | 61,83 | 25,04 | 86,14 | 45,40 | 77,93 | 74,63 |
| Kazakhstan | 4 | 61,79 | 35,21 | 86,34 | 67,92 | 63,20 | 56,27 |
| Bolivia | 3 | 61,63 | 63,41 | 49,37 | 81,17 | 63,23 | 50,95 |
| Jamaica | 3 | 61,61 | 42,90 | 77,83 | 64,42 | 62,05 | 60,83 |
| El Salvador | 3 | 61,46 | 38,97 | 55,63 | 75,92 | 65,03 | 71,73 |
| India | 1 | 60,79 | 46,23 | 53,02 | 51,51 | 71,15 | 82,04 |
| Thailand | 2 | 60,65 | 38,01 | 63,10 | 50,27 | 65,24 | 86,63 |


| COUNTRY | GROUPS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ICDP } \\ & \text { 0-100 } \end{aligned}$ | INDEX ECONOMIC COMPONENT NORMALIZED (after weighting) 0-100 | INDEX SOCIAL COMPONENT NORMALIZED (after weighting) 0-100 | INDEX GLOBAL COMPONENT NORMALIZED (after weighting) 0-100 | INDEX <br> ENVIRONMENTAL COMPONENT NORMALIZED (after weighting) 0-100 | INDEX INDUSTRY AND <br> INFRASTRUCTURES COMPONENT NORMALIZED (after weighting) 0-100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kuwait | 6 | 60,50 | 89,81 | 84,94 | 33,63 | 20,76 | 73,37 |
| VietNam | 2 | 59,74 | 38,54 | 56,90 | 56,58 | 59,42 | 87,24 |
| Guatemala | 3 | 59,67 | 30,82 | 52,69 | 77,04 | 68,42 | 69,38 |
| Montenegro | 4 | 59,16 | 65,90 | 86,88 | 53,81 | 56,30 | 32,93 |
| Colombia | 3 | 58,94 | 49,43 | 61,10 | 73,13 | 45,52 | 65,50 |
| Jordan | 6 | 58,89 | 42,64 | 74,43 | 35,03 | 67,76 | 74,59 |
| Egypt | 6 | 58,57 | 48,35 | 47,06 | 31,17 | 93,29 | 73,02 |
| Nicaragua | 3 | 58,03 | 45,88 | 52,95 | 78,32 | 53,36 | 59,64 |
| Mongolia | 2 | 57,86 | 43,70 | 76,47 | 78,42 | 54,02 | 36,66 |
| Bangladesh | 2 | 57,18 | 36,43 | 39,13 | 64,58 | 81,36 | 64,40 |
| Iran | 6 | 56,74 | 43,57 | 63,48 | 32,03 | 69,28 | 75,33 |
| Morocco | 6 | 55,39 | 43,58 | 49,35 | 45,57 | 87,35 | 51,07 |
| Ghana | 5 | 55,27 | 45,10 | 30,17 | 78,07 | 58,80 | 64,18 |
| Nepal | 2 | 54,62 | 42,12 | 51,56 | 51,12 | 53,00 | 75,30 |
| Saudi Arabia | 6 | 54,14 | 67,50 | 74,73 | 14,51 | 54,43 | 59,55 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 3 | 54,09 | 57,96 | 74,12 | 69,74 | 0,58 | 68,05 |
| Lesotho | 5 | 53,51 | 89,75 | 39,77 | 71,72 | 53,04 | 13,28 |
| Botswana | 5 | 53,51 | 63,83 | 60,74 | 60,89 | 42,54 | 39,56 |
| Indonesia | 1 | 53,50 | 39,94 | 42,18 | 66,82 | 49,46 | 69,07 |
| Cambodia | 2 | 53,12 | 43,33 | 33,54 | 67,58 | 78,38 | 42,79 |
| Bhutan | 2 | 52,68 | 47,59 | 42,08 | 39,25 | 49,84 | 84,63 |
| Lebanon | 6 | 52,14 | 15,92 | 66,91 | 23,29 | 78,01 | 76,55 |
| Senegal | 5 | 51,92 | 53,64 | 23,75 | 83,60 | 54,01 | 44,59 |
| Qatar | 6 | 50,71 | 72,94 | 81,61 | 44,02 | 5,00 | 49,96 |
| Côte d'Ivoire | 5 | 49,99 | 45,72 | 14,18 | 79,14 | 68,07 | 42,85 |
| Malaysia | 2 | 49,62 | 27,66 | 64,56 | 45,25 | 49,23 | 61,39 |
| Kenya | 5 | 49,43 | 52,99 | 17,08 | 73,05 | 76,01 | 28,04 |
| Oman | 6 | 48,79 | 76,20 | 71,00 | 0,00 | 48,07 | 48,69 |
| United Arab Emirates | 6 | 48,39 | 55,10 | 77,52 | 24,42 | 15,94 | 68,96 |
| Burkina Faso | 5 | 47,64 | 52,10 | 14,13 | 85,97 | 52,15 | 33,85 |
| Malawi | 5 | 47,28 | 65,95 | 4,72 | 66,80 | 61,65 | 37,27 |
| Mozambique | 5 | 46,23 | 61,73 | 20,54 | 67,59 | 75,02 | 6,25 |
| Benin | 5 | 46,08 | 48,41 | 11,86 | 73,24 | 68,98 | 27,89 |
| Rwanda | 5 | 44,76 | 52,82 | 22,94 | 70,45 | 52,37 | 25,19 |
| Cameroon | 5 | 44,61 | 48,17 | 14,18 | 60,33 | 59,49 | 40,89 |
| Pakistan | 2 | 44,49 | 31,59 | 24,27 | 37,43 | 51,27 | 77,91 |
| Mauritania | 5 | 44,23 | 59,09 | 22,98 | 40,95 | 82,44 | 15,69 |
| Mali | 5 | 42,46 | 53,90 | 0,30 | 83,63 | 50,25 | 24,21 |
| Burundi | 5 | 42,32 | 52,95 | 12,38 | 66,42 | 53,53 | 26,32 |
| Zambia | 5 | 42,08 | 44,34 | 31,90 | 64,74 | 54,39 | 15,00 |
| Uganda | 5 | 41,96 | 39,84 | 17,85 | 72,91 | 51,24 | 27,95 |
| Guinea | 5 | 41,61 | 55,10 | 4,97 | 68,72 | 51,64 | 27,61 |
| Liberia | 5 | 41,44 | 51,97 | 17,72 | 69,76 | 63,42 | 4,34 |
| Tanzania | 5 | 40,41 | 45,33 | 4,02 | 65,54 | 72,47 | 14,71 |
| Zimbabwe | 5 | 39,82 | 33,39 | 27,78 | 49,61 | 50,92 | 37,41 |
| Nigeria | 5 | 39,29 | 41,06 | 7,07 | 73,46 | 48,90 | 25,94 |
| Togo | 5 | 38,88 | 49,87 | 13,75 | 58,00 | 57,50 | 15,30 |
| Sierra Leone | 5 | 38,69 | 44,81 | 19,32 | 70,80 | 58,49 | 0,00 |
| Madagascar | 5 | 38,32 | 36,55 | 16,63 | 76,59 | 56,19 | 5,63 |
| Niger | 5 | 38,13 | 53,23 | 0,00 | 80,29 | 48,46 | 8,66 |
| Ethiopia | 5 | 37,81 | 43,63 | 3,65 | 66,99 | 59,11 | 15,69 |


| COUNTRY | GROUPS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ICDP } \\ & \mathbf{0 - 1 0 0} \end{aligned}$ | INDEX ECONOMIC COMPONENT NORMALIZED (after weighting) 0-100 | INDEX SOCIAL COMPONENT NORMALIZED (after weighting) 0-100 | INDEX GLOBAL COMPONENT NORMALIZED (after weighting) 0-100 | INDEX <br> ENVIRONMENTAL COMPONENT NORMALIZED (after weighting) 0-100 | INDEX INDUSTRY AND <br> INFRASTRUCTURES COMPONENT NORMALIZED (after weighting) 0-100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Angola | 5 | 35,93 | 70,24 | 16,64 | 36,13 | 55,51 | 1,11 |
| Singapore | 2 | 23,70 | 0,00 | 73,89 | 15,47 | 0,00 | 29,17 |

## METHODOLOGY FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE PCDI

This section briefly describes the steps taken to develop the PCDI; the statistical analysis details are included under Annex 2.

## 1. Variables preparation

The starting point for the construction of the PCDI was the preparation of the variables from the matrix in Excel containing the previously selected countries and variables grouped by policies and components.
The preparation of the variables involved the following actions (see Annex 2):
> Grouping of countries: the countries were grouped into 6 groups:

- Group 1: OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation;
- Group 2: South-East Asia and Pacific;
- Group 3: Latin America and the Caribbean;
- Group 4: Europe and Central Asia;
- Group 5: Sub-Saharan Africa;
- Group 6: Middle-East and North Africa.
$>$ Exclusion of variables with high missing values ( $>40 \%$ and some with $>30 \%$ ) following the priority of each variable and the number of remaining variables in each policy.
$>$ Grouping of categorical variables (1/0) into a scale variable.
> Elimination of variables with high correlations among them (measure to show if variables are related). The existence of correlations between variables is indicative that two or more of them are quantifying the same information, therefore they may reduce the reliability of the index. This may induce a double count in the variables aggregation step, reducing the reliability of the calculated indices. For this reason the use of statistical methods to identify the existence of such correlations is necessary.


## 2. Outliers analysis

An outlier is an observation that lies an abnormal distance from other values in a random sample from a population. The outliers often represent a measurement error or a highly
atypical country and their inclusion in the statistical analysis may distort the analysis, particularly in the normalisation process of the variables.
The analysis of outliers was carried out for each variable with a Boxplot analysis. The Boxplot is a graphical tool of descriptive statistics that allows for a more detailed analysis regarding the distribution of the sample data, allowing to determine whether there are outliers elements and some sort of bias.
To perform this analysis, all the variables were reviewed and the outliers that appeared were replaced by another value based on statistical criteria (e.g. the highest non- outlier variable, the median value, etc.) and logical interpretation criteria.

## 3. Normalization

The normalization of variables involves a transformation in the variables to let phenomena measured with different scales be comparable (for example to compare the \% of population without access to water and the life expectancy and be able to include them in a single index). The select transformation was the following:
> Min-Max normalisation: transformation that normalises the variables to follow a range between 0 and 1 (or between 0 and 100), which imply subtracting the minimum value to the observation and dividing by the range of the values of the variables.

This Min-Max normalisation is very easy to understand as each variable varies between o and 100; this is why it is widely used, especially in the construction of synthetic indices, such as the Human Development Index, as it is quite easy to interpret.

## 4. Classification following the contribution to development

Throughout the whole process of building the index it was observed that not all the variables contributed to the development of a country in the same way, therefore it was decided to evaluate the variables according to their support to the development or their hampering, what we call here the "underlying theory for the construction of PCDI".
Following this theory, two groups were created for each component:
> Variables that support: variables that support a country's development (such as for instance the social protection expenditure: increasing the social protection expenditure has a positive effect in the country's development);

- Variables that hinder: variables that hinder a country's development (such as the \% of vulnerable employment: a high \% of vulnerable employment definitely hinders the development of a country).

The result of this clustering of variables following the above-mentioned theory is shown in the following table.

| Dimension | Variables that contribute |  | Variables that hinder |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Economic component | FIS1 Tax revenue (\%GDP) <br> FIS3 Variation rate of the Gini Index pre and post <br> taxes and transfers (\%) <br> FIS5 Environment protection expenditure (\% GDP) | F2 F5 FIS6 | Bank assets (\%GDP) <br> External service, total debt (TSD,US \$ at current prices / Exports of goods and services (US \$ at current prices) (\%) <br> Financial Secrecy Index |
| Social component |  | EDU2 <br> EDU8 <br> EDU9 <br> EDU14 <br> IG2 <br> EM6 | Rate of out-of-school children of primary school age, both sexes (\%) <br> Pupil-teacher ratio in pre-primary education <br> Pupil-teacher ratio in primary education <br> Repetition rate in primary education (all grades), both sexes (\%) <br> Unpaid family workers (\% of female employment) <br> Difference of vulnerable Employment between women and men (\%) |
| Global component | J4_5 Legality of homosexuality and of equal marriage <br> J6 <br> J8 8 Participation in the ratification of international <br> treaties of the UN about human rights (\%) <br> J9 Universal jurisdiction <br> Ratification of UN treaties on International <br> Justice <br> $\mathrm{J13} \mathrm{\_14} \mathrm{\_1}^{5}$ Women rights ${ }^{1}$ <br> PYS6 International treaties about weapons <br> Convention relating to the status of refugees <br> and International Convention on the protection <br> of the Rights of all migrant workers and <br> M4_5  <br> C3 Existence of a specific structure of cooperation <br> an appreciation of its political rank | PYS1 <br> PYS3 | Military Expenditure (\%GDP) <br> Military personnel (per 100.000 inhabitants) |
| Environmental component | P2 Artisanal fishing opportunities <br> P4 Clean waters <br> P6 Biodiversity <br> P9 Participation in treaties, conventions and <br> agreements on fishing in \% | DR9 B2 EN2 EN4 | Use of fertilizers <br> Ecological footprint by production (gha per person) <br> Ecological footprint of imports (gha per person) <br> Metric tons of carbon dioxide per person |

[^0]| Dimension | Variables that contribute | Variables that hinder |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Industry and } \\ \text { infrastructures } \\ \text { component }\end{array}$ | IT3 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Improved water supply, rural sector } \\ \text { (\%population with access) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Access to electricity (\% of population) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { International tourist arrivals (\% of the } \\ \text { population in the host country) } \\ \text { Annual freshwater withdrawals, industry (\% } \\ \text { of total freshwater withdrawal) }\end{array}$ |
| Difference between male and female |  |  |  |  |
| employment in the industrial sector (\%) |  |  |  |  |$]$.

## 5. Statistical weights calculation

There are two main approaches for calculating weights in the construction of a synthetic index: determining them as coefficients of a regression model or as weights of the first principal component from a principal component analysis. The first approach is recommended when the studied variables have relative influence on an exogenous variable of interest (e.g. GDP's growth in a country). The second is more suitable when studying consistency indicators able to summarize in the best possible way all the information gathered in a large set of variables. Therefore, the weights of each component of the PCDI were obtained through a principal component analysis allowing extracting the main factors of all political variables included in a component. Thus a synthetic indicator for each component, to be added later to build the ICPD, is obtained.

The principal component analysis is a statistical procedure that uses an orthogonal transformation to convert a set of observations of possibly correlated variables into a set of values of linearly uncorrelated variables called principal components. This transformation is defined in such a way that the first principal component has the largest possible variance (that is, accounts for as much of the variability in the data as possible), and each succeeding component in turn has the highest variance possible under the constraint that it is uncorrelated to the preceding components.

The final selection of variables that are part of the PCDI was made from the confluence of a statistical criterion (CPA results for each component identifying the significant variables and their weights) and the theoretical framework.

In what follows, the formulas (weights and variables) for calculating the index in each component are shown. The weights were normalized to sum to 1 in each component (for the variables contributing and the variables that hinder).

Economic component:

$$
E C=\left[0,454^{*} \text { FIS1 }+0,297^{*} \text { FIS3 }+0,250 * F I S 5\right]-\left[0,333^{*} \text { F2 }+0,333^{*} F_{5}+0,333^{*} \text { FIS6 }\right]
$$

Social component:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SC }=\left[0,098 * E D U 5+0,074 * E D U 11+0,054 * P S 1+0,087 * P S 5+0,078 * P S 8+0,004 * I G 5 \_6 \_7\right. \\
& \left.+0,043^{*} \mathrm{IG} 11+0,049 * I G 14+0,101 * S 2+0,084^{*} \mathrm{~S} 3+0,119^{*} \mathrm{~S} 11+0,112 * \mathrm{CIT} 6+0,097^{*} \mathrm{CIT} 13\right]- \\
& \text { [0,146*EDU2 }+0,180 * E D U 8+0,195 * E D U 9+0,175 * E D U 14+0,150 * I G 2+0,172 * E M 6]
\end{aligned}
$$

Global component:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{GC}=\left[0,131^{*} \mathrm{~J} 4 \_5+0,214^{*} \mathrm{~J} 6+0,175^{*} \mathrm{~J} 8+0,150 * \mathrm{~J} 9+0,160 * \mathrm{~J} 13 \_14 \_15+0,099 * \text { PYS6 }+\right. \\
\left.0,021 * M 4 \_5+0,051^{*} \mathrm{C} 3\right]-\left[0,499^{* P Y S} 1+0,501 * \text { PYS }\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Environmental component:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{EC}=\left[0,279 * \mathrm{P}_{2}+0,220 * P 4+0,282 * P 6+0,219 * P 9\right]-[0,156 * \mathrm{DR} 9+0,305 * \mathrm{~B} 2+0,252 * \mathrm{EN} 2+ \\
\left.0,287^{*} \mathrm{EN} 4\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Industry and infrastructures component:

$$
\text { IIC }=\left[0,397^{*} \text { IT3 }+0,380 * \text { IT } 4+0,223^{*} \text { IN } 1\right]-\left[0,350^{*} \text { T1 }+0,359^{*} \text { IN } 5+0,292 * I N 8\right]
$$

## 6. Imputation

In order to be able to compute the PCDI for a country, it is necessary to have the full set of observations for all the variables for that particular country. This required imputing missing values for those variables that were selected during the previous step. The imputation was carried out by assigning to the missing value the average value from the geographic group to which the country belongs (for instance, the missing value of variable X for the country J, which belongs to the geographic group 1, was replaced by the average value of variable $X$ in the geographic group 1) .

## 7. The PCDI

The PCDI was calculated based on the steps that follow:
Step 1: calculation of a synthetic index for each of the five components by following the formula presented under section 5.

Step 2: a relative weight was assigned to each component following a principle of common but differentiated responsibilities (explained below):

|  | Economic <br> component | Social <br> component | Global <br> component | Environmental <br> component | Industry and <br> infrastructures <br> component |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assigned <br> Weight | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

The weights of each component are determined based on two elements:

* Impact of each component on the overall development policy. Thus, greater weight is assigned to those components which generally have greater impacts beyond the borders of the country that implement those policies.
* Extent to which each component limits the ability to design and implement policies consistent with development. So, those components which, by their nature, influence more the shaping of the international framework that determines the margin available to countries to establish policies consistent with development will be weighted more.

Following the above elements:

* The economic and environmental components receive the maximum weight (3) because from the point of view of the global interdependences, the policies contained in these two components have more influence on the possibilities for countries to develop policies consistent with development and therefore determine to a greater extend development opportunities, not only for the countries that implement them, but also for other countries.
* The global component receives a weight of 2 because, despite its importance from the point of view of the global interdependences, it has a strong normative nature, mainly based on the signing and ratification of international treaties within this component, and therefore, it is not so directly related to the outcomes and impacts of policies.
* The social and industry and infrastructures components receive the smallest weight (1), since it is considered that political decisions within these components affect the possibilities for countries to develop coherent policies less than in the other three components.

Step 3: each synthetic index by component was normalized to a scale 0-100, following the method described under section 3 .

Step 4: the PCDI was calculated as the average of the five indexes by component.
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## A. Data preparation

1) Variable B1 "Global Hunger Index". Values of GHI = "<5" have been replaced by the values the following table
(https://dataverse.harvard.edu/dataset.xhtml?persistentld=doi:10.7910/DVN/27557)

| País | GHI |
| :--- | :---: |
| Algeria | 2,7 |
| Argentina | 2,4 |
| Armenia | 3,2 |
| Azerbaijan | 2,9 |
| Belarus | 0,6 |
| Bosnia \& Herzegovina | 1,5 |
| Brazil | 3,5 |
| Bulgaria | 3,4 |
| Chile | 1,5 |
| Costa Rica | 3,4 |
| Croatia | 0,7 |
| Cuba | 1,1 |
| Egypt, Arab Republic | 2,6 |
| Estonia | 1,5 |
| Iran, Islamic Republic | 3,5 |
| Jamaica | 4,5 |
| Jordan | 2,7 |
| Kazakhstan | 2,0 |
| Kuwait | 1,6 |
| Kyrgyz Republic | 4,1 |
| Latvia | 2,0 |
| Lebanon | 2,3 |
| Lithuania | 0,8 |
| Macedonia, FYR | 2,1 |
| Mexico | 1,7 |
| Montenegro | 1,2 |
| Morocco | 3,7 |
| Panama | 4,6 |
| Romania | 1,4 |
| Russian Federation | 1,1 |
| Saudi Arabia | 2,5 |
| Serbia | 2,1 |
| Slovak Republic | 2,6 |
| South Africa | 4,8 |
| Trinidad \& Tobago | 4,0 |
| Tunisia | 1,5 |
| Turkey | 1,5 |
| Ukraine | 1,0 |
| Uruguay | Venezuela, RB |
|  | 2,2 |

2) Variables revision

The following variables have been modified to reflect the designated use:
F2, F4, F5, FIS6, EDU1, EDU2, EDU3, EDU8, EDU9, EDU10, EDU11, EDU12, edu13, EDU14, PS7, PS9, S10, IG2, IG4, EM1, EM3, EM6, EM8, EM9, PYS1, PYS3, PYS4, PYS5, M3, M7, P1, P5, P11, DR6, DR7, DR9, DR10, B1, B2, B3, B11, B12, EN2, EN3, EN4, EN6, U4, U5, T1, T2, IT2, IT6, IT9, IN2, IN4, IN5, IN6, IN8, IN9.
3) Countries have been grouped into 6 groups:

| Countries | Group | Group's name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Albania | 4 | Europe and Central Asia |
| Algeria | 6 | Middle-East and North Africa |
| Angola | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Argentina | 3 | Latin America and the Caribbean |
| Armenia | 4 | Europe and Central Asia |
| Australia | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Austria | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Azerbaijan | 4 | Europe and Central Asia |
| Bangladesh | 2 | South-East Asia and Pacific |
| Belarus | 4 | Europe and Central Asia |
| Belgium | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Benin | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Bhutan | 2 | South-East Asia and Pacific |
| Bolivia | 3 | Latin America and the Caribbean |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | 4 | Europe and Central Asia |
| Botswana | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Brazil | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Bulgaria | 4 | Europe and Central Asia |
| Burkina Faso | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Burundi | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Cambodia | 2 | South-East Asia and Pacific |
| Cameroon | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Canada | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Chile | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| China | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Colombia | 3 | Latin America and the Caribbean |
| Costa Rica | 3 | Latin America and the Caribbean |
| Côte d'Ivoire | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Croatia | 4 | Europe and Central Asia |


| Cuba | 3 | Latin America and the Caribbean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cyprus | 4 | Europe and Central Asia |
| Czech Republic | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Denmark | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Dominican Republic | 3 | Latin America and the Caribbean |
| Ecuador | 3 | Latin America and the Caribbean |
| Egypt | 6 | Middle-East and North Africa |
| El Salvador | 3 | Latin America and the Caribbean |
| Estonia | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Ethiopia | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Finland | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| France | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Georgia | 4 | Europe and Central Asia |
| Germany | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Ghana | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Greece | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Guatemala | 3 | Latin America and the Caribbean |
| Guinea | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Honduras | 3 | Latin America and the Caribbean |
| Hungary | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Iceland | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| India | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Indonesia | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Iran (Islamic Republic of) | 6 | Middle-East and North Africa |
| Ireland | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Israel | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Italy | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Jamaica | 3 | Latin America and the Caribbean |
| Japan | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Jordan | 6 | Middle-East and North Africa |
| Kazakhstan | 4 | Europe and Central Asia |
| Kenya | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Kuwait | 6 | Middle-East and North Africa |
| Kyrgyzstan | 4 | Europe and Central Asia |


| Latvia | 4 | Europe and Central Asia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lebanon | 6 | Middle-East and North Africa |
| Lesotho | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Liberia | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Lithuania | 4 | Europe and Central Asia |
| Luxembourg | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Macedonia, FYR | 4 | Europe and Central Asia |
| Madagascar | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Malawi | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Malaysia | 2 | South-East Asia and Pacific |
| Mali | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Malta | 6 | Middle-East and North Africa |
| Mauritania | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Mauritius | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Mexico | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Mongolia | 2 | South-East Asia and Pacific |
| Montenegro | 4 | Europe and Central Asia |
| Morocco | 6 | Middle-East and North Africa |
| Mozambique | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Namibia | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Nepal | 2 | South-East Asia and Pacific |
| Netherlands | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| New Zealand | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Nicaragua | 3 | Latin America and the Caribbean |
| Niger | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Nigeria | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Norway | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Oman | 6 | Middle-East and North Africa |
| Pakistan | 2 | South-East Asia and Pacific |
| Panama | 3 | Latin America and the Caribbean |
| Paraguay | 3 | Latin America and the Caribbean |
| Peru | 3 | Latin America and the Caribbean |
| Philippines | 2 | South-East Asia and Pacific |
| Poland | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Portugal | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Qatar | 6 | Middle-East and North Africa |
| Republic of Korea | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Republic of Moldova | 4 | Europe and Central Asia |
| Romania | 4 | Europe and Central Asia |


| Russian Federation | 4 | Europe and Central Asia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rwanda | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Saudi Arabia | 6 | Middle-East and North Africa |
| Senegal | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Serbia | 4 | Europe and Central Asia |
| Sierra Leone | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Singapore | 2 | South-East Asia and Pacific |
| Slovakia | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Slovenia | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| South Africa | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Spain | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Sri Lanka | 2 | South-East Asia and Pacific |
| Sweden | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Switzerland | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Tajikistan | 4 | Europe and Central Asia |
| Tanzania | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Thailand | 2 | South-East Asia and Pacific |
| Togo | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 3 | Latin America and the Caribbean |
| Tunisia | 6 | Middle-East and North Africa |
| Turkey | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Uganda | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Ukraine | 4 | Europe and Central Asia |
| United Arab Emirates | 6 | Middle-East and North Africa |
| United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| United States of America | 1 | OECD countries, accession countries and countries with enhanced cooperation |
| Uruguay | 3 | Latin America and the Caribbean |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 3 | Latin America and the Caribbean |
| Viet Nam | 2 | South-East Asia and Pacific |
| Zambia | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Zimbabwe | 5 | Sub-Saharan Africa |

4) Variables with high missing values ( $>40 \%$ ) have been excluded:

| VAR | \% MISSING VALUES | ACTION |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| F3 | $44,8 \%$ | Excluded |
| F6 | $66,9 \%$ | Excluded |
| FIS6 | $57,8 \%$ | Not excluded |
| PS10 | $46,8 \%$ | Excluded |
| S5 | $50,0 \%$ | Excluded |
| S6 | $50,0 \%$ | Excluded |
| S12 | $53,9 \%$ | Excluded |
| S13 | $53,9 \%$ | Excluded |
| CIT12 | $45,5 \%$ | Excluded |
| EM5 | $42,9 \%$ | Excluded |
| J12 | $43,5 \%$ | Excluded |
| PYS2 | $51,9 \%$ | Excluded |
| C4 | $62,3 \%$ | Excluded |
| P10 | $66,9 \%$ | Excluded |
| P12 | $50,6 \%$ | Excluded |
| DR1 | $58,4 \%$ | Excluded |
| DR3 | $40,9 \%$ | Excluded |
| DR4 | $57,8 \%$ | Excluded |
| B9 | $51,3 \%$ | Excluded |
| U1 | $40,9 \%$ | Excluded |
| U3 | $43,5 \%$ | Excluded |
| U6 | $42,2 \%$ | Excluded |
| T3 | $29,9 \%$ | Not excluded |
| T7 | $53,9 \%$ | Excluded |
| IT8 | $57,8 \%$ | Excluded |
| IN4 | $14,3 \%$ | Not excluded |

5) Variables with more than $30 \%$ of missing values have been revised and the following have been excluded:

| VAR | \% MISSING VALUES |
| :--- | :---: |
| EDU6 | $31,8 \%$ |
| CIT9 | $39,6 \%$ |
| DR5 | $32,5 \%$ |
| DR13 | $35,7 \%$ |
| IN3 | $34,4 \%$ |

6) Categorical variables have been grouped into scale variables.

| VAR1 | VAR2 | VAR3 | FINAL VARIABLE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IG5 | IG6 | IG7 | IG5_6_7 |
| M4 | M5 |  | M4_5 |
| J4 | J5 |  | J4_5 |
| J13 | J14 | J15 | J13_14_15 |

7) Within each policy, correlations between variables have been studied. It has been suggested to exclude variables with high correlation as per the following table.

| VAR 1 | VAR 2 | CORR. | COMMENT | ACTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FIS1 | FIS2 | 78\% | It is suggested to exclude variable FIS2 because shows higher missing values. | FIS2 excluded |
| FIS1 | FIS3 | 72\% | It is suggested to exclude variable FIS3 | None |
| EDU1 | EDU12 | 80\% | Select the variable to exclude. | EDU12 excluded |
| EDU4 | EDU9 | 84\% | It is suggested to exclude variable EDU4 because shows higher missing values and priority 2. | EDU4 excluded |
| EDU4 | EDU10 | 71\% | It is suggested to exclude variable EDU4. | EDU4 excluded |
| EDU4 | EDU14 | 70\% | It is suggested to exclude variable EDU4. | EDU4 excluded |
| EDU9 | EDU10 | 82\% | It is suggested to exclude variable EDU10 because shows priority 2. | EDU10 excluded |
| PS1 | PS2 | 94\% | It is suggested to exclude variable PS2 because shows higher missing values and priority 2. | PS2 excluded |
| PS1 | PS3 | 80\% | It is suggested to exclude variable PS3 because shows higher missing values and priority 2. | PS3 excluded |
| PS1 | PS5 | 73\% | It is suggested to exclude variable PS5. | None |
| IG11 | IG13 | 98\% | It is suggested to exclude variable IG11 because shows priority 3. | IG13 excluded |
| S1 | S2 | 99\% | It is suggested to exclude variable S2 because for development policies it is deemed more important S1. | S1 excluded |
| S7 | S8 | 71\% | It is suggested to exclude variable S8 because shows priority 2. | None |
| CIT1 | CIT2 | 76\% | It is suggested to exclude variable CIT2 because shows priority 3 . | CIT2 excluded |
| CIT1 | CIT7 | 77\% | It is suggested to exclude variable CIT7 because shows priority 3 . | None |
| CIT1 | CIT10 | 83\% | It is suggested to exclude variable CIT10 because shows priority 2. | CIT10 excluded |
| CIT1 | CIT11 | 84\% | It is suggested to exclude variable CIT11 because shows priority 2. | CIT11 excluded |
| EM8 | EM9 | 77\% | It is suggested to exclude variable EM8 because shows more missing values and priority 3. | EM8 excluded |
| PYS10 | PYS11 | 87\% | Select the variable to exclude. | PYS11 excluded |
| P5 | P6 | -76\% | It is suggested to exclude variable $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ because shows more missing values. | P5 excluded |
| DR7 | DR8 | -70\% | Select the variable to exclude. | DR8 excluded |
| EN3 | EN6 | 82\% | Select the variable to exclude. | EN6 excluded |
| IT4 | IT9 | 87\% | Select the variable to exclude. | IT9 excluded |
| IT3 | IT4 | 78\% | Select the variable to exclude. | None |
| IT3 | IT5 | 73\% | Select the variable to exclude. | IT5 excluded |
| IT3 | IT9 | 78\% | Select the variable to exclude. | IT9 excluded |
| IT4 | IT5 | 74\% | Select the variable to exclude. | IT5 excluded |
| IT5 | IT7 | 74\% | Select the variable to exclude. | IT5 and IT7 excluded |
| IT5 | IT9 | 73\% | Select the variable to exclude. | IT5 and IT9 excluded |
| IN6 | IN8 | 71\% | It is suggested to exclude variable IN8 | None |


|  |  | because shows more missing values <br> and priority 2. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

8) Within each block, correlations between variables have been studied. It has been suggested to exclude variables with high correlation as per the following table.

| VAR 1 | VAR 2 | CORR. | COMMENT | ACTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Social block |  |  |  |  |
| EDU1 | PS7 | 70\% | It is suggested to exclude variable EDU1 because shows more missing values and lower priority. | EDU1 and PS7 excluded |
| EDU1 | S11 | 72\% | It is suggested to exclude variable EDU1 because shows more missing values and lower priority. | EDU1 excluded |
| EDU9 | PS7 | 78\% | It is suggested to exclude variable PS7 because shows lower priority. | PS7 excluded |
| EDU9 | S2 | 79\% | It is suggested to exclude variable EDU9 because shows more missing values. | None |
| EDU9 | EM9 | 77\% | It is suggested to exclude variable EM9 because shows more missing values and lower priority. | EM9 excluded |
| PS1 | S7 | 82\% | Select the variable to exclude. | S7 excluded |
| PS1 | EM4 | 81\% | It is suggested to exclude variable EM4 because shows more missing values and lower priority. | EM4 excluded |
| PS7 | S11 | 79\% | It is suggested to exclude variable PS7 because shows lower priority. | PS7 excluded |
| PS7 | S2 | 74\% | It is suggested to exclude variable PS7 because shows lower priority. | PS7 excluded |
| S2 | CIT1 | 75\% | Select the variable to exclude. | None |
| S2 | EM9 | 72\% | It is suggested to exclude variable EM9 because shows more missing values and lower priority. | EM9 excluded |
| S11 | EM9 | 78\% | It is suggested to exclude variable EM9 because shows more missing values and lower priority. | EM9 excluded |
| CIT3 | EM4 | 73\% | It is suggested to exclude variable EM4 | CIT3 excluded |
| Global block |  |  |  |  |
| PYS10 | C5 | 80\% | It is suggested to exclude variable PYS10 because shows lower priority. | PYS10 excluded |
| Environmental block |  |  |  |  |
| DR2 | B1 | 72\% | It is suggested to exclude variable B1 because shows more missing values. | DR2 excluded |
| DR2 | B11 | 81\% | It is suggested to exclude variable DR2 because shows lower priority. | DR2 and B11 excluded |
| DR2 | EN3 | 89\% | It is suggested to exclude variable DR2 because shows lower priority. | DR2 excluded |
| B1 | EN3 | 87\% | It is suggested to exclude variable B1 because shows more missing values. | B1 excluded |
| B2 | EN3 | -70\% | Select the variable to exclude. | EN3 excluded |
| B11 | EN3 | 82\% | Select the variable to exclude. | B11 and EN3 excluded |


| Industry and infrastructures block |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $U_{2}$ | IT3 | $77 \%$ | Select the variable to exclude. | U2 excluded |  |
| U2 $_{2}$ | IT4 | $88 \%$ | Select the variable to exclude. | U2 excluded |  |

9) Correlations between blocks have been studied. It has been suggested to exclude variables with high correlation as per the following table.

| VAR 1 | VAR 2 | CORR. | COMMENT | ACTION |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IG5_6_7 | J11 | $99 \%$ | It is suggested to exclude variable J11. | J11 excluded |
| PYS5 | U5 | $96 \%$ | It is suggested to exclude variable <br> PYS5 because shows more missing <br> values. | PYS5 excluded |

## B. Statistical analysis

Analysis of outliers for each variable. The results of the analysis are presented in the table below.

| VAR | OUTLIERS | ACTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F2 | The variable shows an outlier for Luxemburg | Replace it by the maximum (no outlier) value. |
| FIS1 | The variable shows an outlier for Kuwait | Replace it by the maximum (no outlier) value. |
| FIS5 | The variable shows an outlier for The Netherlands | Replace it by the maximum (no outlier) value. |
| EDU2 | The variable shows an outlier for Liberia | Replace it by the maximum (no outlier) value. |
| EDU3 | The variable shows an outlier for South Africa | Replace it by the maximum (no outlier) value. |
| EDU7 | The variable shows an outlier for Ghana | Replace it by the median value. |
| EDU8 | The variable shows an outlier for Tanzania | Replace it by the median value. |
| EDU9 | The variable shows an outlier for Malawi | Replace it by the median value. |
| EDU11 | The variable shows an outlier for Angola | Replace it by the minimum (no outlier) value. |
| EDU14 | The variable shows an outlier for Burundi | Replace it by the maximum (no outlier) value. |
| PS8 | The variable shows an outlier for Peru | Replace it by the median of the group to which the country belongs. |
| PS9 | The variable shows an outlier for Malawi | Replace it by the minimum (no outlier) value. |
| IG1 | The variable shows an outlier for Ruanda | Replace it by the maximum (no outlier) value. |
| IG4 | The variable shows an outlier for Azerbaijan | Replace it by the maximum (no outlier) value. |
| IG9 | The variable shows an outlier for Finland | Replace it by the maximum (no outlier) value. |
| S4 | The variable shows an outlier for Sri Lanka and Czech Republic | Replace Sri Lanka by the maximum (no outlier) value. Replace Czech Republic by the median value. |
| S9 | Constant value (100) for the majority of the countries ( $77 \%$ ) | Variable S9 excluded. |
| CIT6 | The variable shows an outlier for Qatar | Replace it by the maximum (no outlier) value. |
| EM7 | Constant value (8) for the majority of the countries ( $79 \%$ ) | None. |
| PYS1 | The variable shows an outlier for Oman and Saudi Arabia | Replace it by the maximum (no outlier) value. |
| M3 | The variable shows an outlier for Jordan and Lebanon | Replace it by the maximum (no outlier) value. |
| M8 | The variable shows an outlier for Qatar y Kuwait | Replace it by the maximum (no outlier) value. |
| DR9 | The variable shows an outlier for Qatar | Replace it by the maximum (no outlier) value. |
| B12 | The variable shows an outlier for Mauritania | Replace it by the maximum (no outlier) value. |
| EN1 | The variable shows an outlier for | Replace it by the maximum (no outlier) |


|  | Denmark | value. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EN2 | The variable shows an outlier for <br> Belgium | Replace it by the maximum (no outlier) <br> value. |
| U5 | The variable shows an outlier for <br> Honduras | Replace it by the maximum (no outlier) <br> value. |
| T1 | The variable shows an outlier for <br> Malta | Replace it by the maximum (no outlier) <br> value. |
| IT1 | The variable shows an outlier for <br> Canada | Replace it by the maximum (no outlier) <br> value. |
| IT2 | The variable shows an outlier for <br> Pakistan | Replace it by the maximum (no outlier) <br> value. |
| IT10 | The variable shows an outlier for <br> Angola | Replace it by the minimum (no outlier) <br> value. |
| IN8 | The variable shows an outlier for <br> Qatar and Oman | Replace it by the maximum (no outlier) <br> value. |
| IN9 | The variable shows an outlier for <br> Pakistan | Replace it by the maximum (no outlier) <br> value. |

## C. Principal components analysis

Variables have been first normalised into a scale 0-100 in order to be able to compare variables measured with different scale (for example to compare the \% of population without access to water and the life expectancy).

The results of the principal components analysis are presented in what follows.

## C. 1 Economic block

Total variance explained

| Component | Initial eigenvalues |  |  | Extraction sums of squared loadings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% |
| 1 | 3,479 | 43,489 | 43,489 | 3,100 | 38,752 | 38,752 |
| 2 | 1,135 | 14,192 | 57,681 | 1,514 | 18,929 | 57,681 |
| 3 | ,907 | 11,336 | 69,017 |  |  |  |
| 4 | ,856 | 10,700 | 79,717 |  |  |  |
| 5 | ,633 | 7,915 | 87,633 |  |  |  |
| 6 | ,535 | 6,690 | 94,323 |  |  |  |
| 7 | ,331 | 4,135 | 98,458 |  |  |  |
| 8 | ,123 | 1,542 | 100,000 |  |  |  |



| Component matrix |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Component |  |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_F2_REV | , 658 | , 561 |
| IN_F4_REV | , 579 | ,- 085 |
| IN_F5_REV | ,- 049 | , 680 |
| IN_FIS1 | , 776 | ,- 073 |
| IN_FIS3 | , 897 | , 249 |
| IN_FIS5 | , 709 | , 193 |
| IN_FIS6_REV | ,- 169 | ,- 756 |
| IN_FIS7 | , 627 | , 232 |



## Component score coefficient matrix

|  | Component |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 |  |

It can be noted that a group of variables (F2, F4, FIS1, FIS3, FIS5 and FIS7) contribute to a country's development, while others (F5 and FIS6) hinder it. Variable F2 is moved to the
group of variables that hinder a country's development, due to its meaning. The principal component analysis is run again with just the variables from the group that contribute to development: F4, FIS1, FIS3, FIS5 and FIS7.

Total variance explained

| Component | Initial eigenvalues |  |  | Extraction sums of squared loadings |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% |
|  | 2,749 | 54,987 | 54,987 | 2,249 | 44,976 | 44,976 |
| 2 | , 857 | 17,140 | 72,127 | 1,358 | 27,152 | 72,127 |
| 3 | , 671 | 13,429 | 85,556 |  |  |  |
| 4 | , 544 | 10,879 | 96,435 |  |  |  |
| 5 | , 178 | 3,565 | 100,000 |  |  |  |



Component matrix

| Component matrix |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Component |  |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_F4_REV |  | , 921 |
| IN_FIS1 | , 900 |  |
| IN_FIS3 | , 841 |  |
| IN_FIS5 | , 693 |  |
| IN_FIS7 |  | , 517 |



## Component score coefficient matrix

|  | Component |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_F4_REV | ,- 276 | , 848 |
| IN_FIS1 | , 532 | ,- 355 |
| IN_FIS3 | , 348 | , 071 |
| IN_FIS5 | , 293 | , 041 |
| IN_FIS7 | , 101 | , 319 |

With respect to the other group of variables that hinder a country's development, it is decided to leave those three variables into the computation of the final index. The weight assigned to each variable will be 0,33 .

## C. 2 Social block

> "EDUCATION","HEALTH","SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY" POLICIES

Total variance explained

| Component | Initial eigenvalues |  |  | Extraction sums of squared loadings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% |
| 1 | 8,204 | 37,292 | 37,292 | 5,864 | 26,655 | 26,655 |
| 2 | 2,001 | 9,094 | 46,387 | 4,341 | 19,731 | 46,387 |
| 3 | 1,702 | 7,736 | 54,123 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1,283 | 5,833 | 59,955 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1,224 | 5,561 | 65,517 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1,179 | 5,360 | 70,877 |  |  |  |
| 7 | ,912 | 4,146 | 75,022 |  |  |  |
| 8 | ,800 | 3,638 | 78,660 |  |  |  |
| 9 | ,681 | 3,097 | 81,757 |  |  |  |
| 10 | ,635 | 2,885 | 84,642 |  |  |  |
| 11 | ,594 | 2,701 | 87,343 |  |  |  |
| 12 | ,569 | 2,588 | 89,931 |  |  |  |
| 13 | ,453 | 2,061 | 91,992 |  |  |  |
| 14 | ,443 | 2,015 | 94,007 |  |  |  |
| 15 | ,305 | 1,384 | 95,392 |  |  |  |
| 16 | ,242 | 1,101 | 96,493 |  |  |  |
| 17 | ,228 | 1,036 | 97,528 |  |  |  |
| 18 | ,192 | ,873 | 98,402 |  |  |  |
| 19 | ,141 | ,639 | 99,041 |  |  |  |
| 20 | ,121 | ,551 | 99,592 |  |  |  |
| 21 | ,074 | ,338 | 99,929 |  |  |  |
| 22 | ,016 | ,071 | 100,000 |  |  |  |



| Component matrix |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Component |  |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_EDU2_REV | ,541 | ,371 |
| IN_EDU3_REV | ,379 | -,060 |
| IN_EDU5 | ,640 | ,126 |
| IN_EDU7 | -,567 | ,156 |
| IN_EDU8_REV | ,687 | ,166 |
| IN_EDU9_REV | ,801 | ,392 |
| IN_EDU11_REV | ,460 | ,510 |
| IN_EDU13_REV | ,239 | ,317 |
| IN_EDU14_REV | ,733 | ,252 |
| IN_S2 | ,688 | ,552 |
| IN_S3 | ,589 | -,125 |
| IN_S4 | ,093 | ,347 |
| IN_S8 | ,231 | ,649 |
| IN_S10_REV | -,187 | ,473 |
| $1 N_{-}$S11 | ,799 | ,428 |
| IN_CIT1 | ,573 | ,650 |
| IN_CIT4 | -,074 | ,586 |
| IN_CIT5 | ,286 | ,571 |
| IN_CIT6 | ,596 | ,411 |
| IN_CIT7 | ,250 | ,773 |
| IN_CIT8 | -,004 | ,525 |
| IN_CIT13 | ,625 | ,389 |



| Component score coefficient matrix |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Component |  |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_EDU2_REV | , 075 | , 033 |
| IN_EDU3_REV | , 111 | ,- 091 |
| IN_EDU5 | , 146 | ,- 072 |
| IN_EDU7 | ,- 179 | , 160 |
| IN_EDU8_REV | , 151 | ,- 066 |
| IN_EDU9_REV | , 140 | ,- 006 |
| IN_EDU11_REV | , 028 | , 098 |
| IN_EDU13_REV | , 005 | , 070 |
| IN_EDU14_REV | , 148 | ,- 044 |
| IN_S2 | , 081 | , 071 |
| IN_S3 | , 179 | ,- 152 |
| IN_S4 | ,- 039 | , 107 |
| IN_S8 | ,- 058 | , 189 |
| IN_S10_REV | ,- 136 | , 203 |
| IN_S11 | , 133 | , 007 |
| IN_CIT1 | , 033 | , 127 |
| IN_CIT4 | ,- 127 | , 223 |
| IN_CIT5 | ,- 029 | , 152 |
| IN_CIT6 | , 082 | , 038 |
| IN_CIT7 | ,- 075 | , 230 |
| IN_CIT8 | ,- 097 | , 188 |
| IN_CIT13 | , 094 | , 025 |

For these three policies the principal component analysis doesn't show any specific variable that contribute or hinder a country's development. Therefore the grouping of variables will be done based on the meaning of each variable:

- Variables that contribute: EDU5, EDU7, EDU11, S2, S3, S4, S8, S11, CIT1, CIT4, CIT5, CIT6, CIT7, CIT8, CIT13.
- Variables that hinder: EDU2, EDU3, EDU8, EDU9, EDU13, EDU14, S10.

The principal component analysis is run again with the first group of variables.

Total variance explained

| Component | Initial eigenvalues |  |  | Extraction sums of squared loadings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% |
| 1 | 5,969 | 39,793 | 39,793 | 3,939 | 26,263 | 26,263 |
| 2 | 1,716 | 11,438 | 51,231 | 3,745 | 24,968 | 51,231 |
| 3 | 1,455 | 9,700 | 60,932 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1,222 | 8,146 | 69,078 |  |  |  |
| 5 | ,881 | 5,872 | 74,950 |  |  |  |
| 6 | ,802 | 5,343 | 80,293 |  |  |  |
| 7 | ,633 | 4,218 | 84,511 |  |  |  |
| 8 | ,507 | 3,382 | 87,894 |  |  |  |
| 9 | ,506 | 3,372 | 91,265 |  |  |  |
| 10 | ,413 | 2,752 | 94,017 |  |  |  |
| 11 | ,292 | 1,948 | 95,965 |  |  |  |
| 12 | ,258 | 1,722 | 97,687 |  |  |  |
| 13 | ,162 | 1,079 | 98,766 |  |  |  |
| 14 | ,110 | ,732 | 99,498 |  |  |  |
| 15 | ,075 | ,502 | 100,000 |  |  |  |



|  | Component |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_EDU5 | ,544 |  |
| IN_EDU7 |  |  |
| IN_EDU11_REV | ,592 |  |
| IN_S2 | ,663 | ,574 |
| IN_S3 | ,546 |  |
| IN_S4 |  |  |
| IN_S8 |  | ,580 |
| IN_S11 | ,782 |  |
| IN_CIT1 |  | ,750 |
| IN_CIT4 |  |  |
| IN_CIT5 |  | ,658 |
| IN_CIT6 | ,778 |  |
| IN_CIT7 |  | ,891 |
| IN_CIT8 |  | ,699 |
| IN_CIT13 | ,818 |  |



| Component score coefficient matrix |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Component |  |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_EDU5 | , 148 | ,- 019 |
| IN_EDU7 | ,- 185 | , 117 |
| IN_EDU11_REV | , 148 | , 005 |
| IN_S2 | ,, 123 | , 083 |
| IN_S3 | , 215 | ,- 142 |
| IN_S4 | ,- 042 | , 124 |
| IN_S8 | ,- 004 | , 157 |
| IN_S11 | , 195 | , 007 |
| IN_CIT1 | , 018 | , 190 |
| IN_CIT4 | ,- 072 | , 158 |
| IN_CIT5 | ,- 053 | , 206 |
| IN_CIT6 | , 236 | ,- 072 |
| IN_CIT7 | ,- 129 | , 311 |
| IN_CIT8 | ,- 198 | , 299 |
| IN_CIT13 | , 258 | ,- 092 |

> "SOCIAL POLICY", "EMPLOYMENT" POLICIES

Total variance explained

| Component | Initial eigenvalues |  |  | Extraction sums of squared loadings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% |
| 1 | 3,431 | 34,313 | 34,313 | 2,921 | 29,207 | 29,207 |
| 2 | 2,137 | 21,370 | 55,683 | 2,648 | 26,476 | 55,683 |
| 3 | 1,113 | 11,131 | 66,814 |  |  |  |
| 4 | ,975 | 9,746 | 76,559 |  |  |  |
| 5 | ,802 | 8,023 | 84,582 |  |  |  |
| 6 | ,576 | 5,761 | 90,343 |  |  |  |
| 7 | ,373 | 3,727 | 94,070 |  |  |  |
| 8 | ,338 | 3,379 | 97,450 |  |  |  |
| 9 | ,204 | 2,041 | 99,491 |  |  |  |
| 10 | ,051 | ,509 | 100,000 |  |  |  |



| Component matrix |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Component |  |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_PS1 | , 796 | ,- 279 |
| IN_PS4 | , 227 | ,- 444 |
| IN_PS5 | , 856 | ,- 180 |
| IN_PS8 | , 489 | , 277 |
| IN_PS9_REV | ,- 798 | , 216 |
| IN_EM1_REV | ,- 075 | , 832 |
| IN_EM2 | ,- 140 | , 713 |
| IN_EM3_REV | , 084 | , 898 |
| IN_EM6_REV | , 770 | ,- 018 |
| IN_EM7 | , 038 | ,- 459 |



## Component score coefficient matrix

|  | Component |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 |  |

It can be seen that a group of variables (PS1, PS4, PS5, PS8, EM6, EM7) contribute to development, while others (EM1, EM2, EM3, PS9) hinder it. Because of its meaning, variable EM6 is moved into the group of variables that hinder development, while EM2 is moved into the group that contribute to development.

The principal components analysis is run again with just the variables that contribute to development: PS1, PS4, PS5, PS8, EM2 and EM7.

Total variance explained

| Component | Initial eigenvalues |  |  | Extraction sums of squared loadings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% |
| 1 | 2,168 | 36,131 | 36,131 | 1,853 | 30,884 | 30,884 |
| 2 | 1,180 | 19,674 | 55,805 | 1,495 | 24,921 | 55,805 |
| 3 | ,983 | 16,386 | 72,191 |  |  |  |
| 4 | ,869 | 14,483 | 86,674 |  |  |  |
| 5 | ,564 | 9,405 | 96,079 |  |  |  |
| 6 | ,235 | 3,921 | 100,000 |  |  |  |





Component score coefficient matrix

|  | Component |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 |  |
| IN_PS1 | , 366 | , 186 |
|  | ,- 063 | , 560 |
| IN_PS5 | , 461 | , 008 |
| IN_PS8 | , 469 | ,- 473 |
| IN_EM2 | ,- 109 | ,- 202 |
| IN_EM7 | ,- 050 | , 333 |

## "EQUALITY" POLICY

Total variance explained

| Component | Initial eigenvalues |  |  | Extraction sums of squared loadings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% |
| 1 | 2,401 | 24,013 | 24,013 | 2,389 | 23,891 | 23,891 |
| 2 | 1,495 | 14,952 | 38,965 | 1,507 | 15,073 | 38,965 |
| 3 | 1,367 | 13,665 | 52,630 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1,158 | 11,584 | 64,214 |  |  |  |
| 5 | ,984 | 9,844 | 74,058 |  |  |  |
| 6 | ,754 | 7,542 | 81,600 |  |  |  |
| 7 | ,592 | 5,919 | 87,519 |  |  |  |
| 8 | ,472 | 4,717 | 92,236 |  |  |  |
| 9 | ,396 | 3,960 | 96,196 |  |  |  |
| 10 | ,380 | 3,804 | 100,000 |  |  |  |



| Component matrix |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Component |  |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_IG1 | , 578 | ,- 042 |
| IN_ING2_REV | , 292 | ,- 814 |
| IN_IG3 | , 078 | , 719 |
| IN_IG4_REV | , 255 | , 384 |
| IN_IG5_6_7 | , 765 | , 198 |
| IN_IG9 | , 714 | ,- 118 |
| IN_IG10 | , 358 | ,- 306 |
| IN_IG11 | , 312 | , 165 |
| IN_IG12 | , 437 | ,- 056 |
| IN_IG14 | , 621 | , 031 |



| Component score coefficient matrix |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Component |  |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_IG1 | , 242 | ,- 011 |
| IN_ING2_REV | , 099 | ,- 533 |
| IN_IG3 | , 054 | , 481 |
| IN_IG4_REV | , 118 | , 263 |
| IN_IG5_6_7 | , 327 | , 154 |
| IN_IG9 | , 297 | ,- 058 |
| IN_IG10 | , 141 | ,- 193 |
| IN_IG11 | , 136 | , 119 |
| IN_IG12 | , 182 | ,- 025 |
| IN_IG14 | , 262 | , 039 |

For this policy, the principal component analysis doesn't show any specific variable that contribute or hinder a country's development. Therefore the grouping of variables will be based on the meaning of each variable:

- Variables that contribute: IG1, IG3, IG5_6_7, IG8, IG9, IG10, IG11, IG12, IG14
- Variables that hinder: IG2, IG4

The principal component analysis is run again with the first group of variables.

Total variance explained

| Component | Initial eigenvalues |  |  | Extraction sums of squared loadings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative\% | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% |
| 1 | 2,310 | 25,671 | 25,671 | 2,120 | 23,559 | 23,559 |
| 2 | 1,389 | 15,439 | 41,110 | 1,580 | 17,551 | 41,110 |
| 3 | 1,202 | 13,361 | 54,471 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1,008 | 11,196 | 65,666 |  |  |  |
| 5 | ,902 | 10,023 | 75,690 |  |  |  |
| 6 | ,741 | 8,232 | 83,922 |  |  |  |
| 7 | ,578 | 6,420 | 90,342 |  |  |  |
| 8 | ,468 | 5,204 | 95,546 |  |  |  |
| 9 | ,401 | 4,454 | 100,000 |  |  |  |



| Component matrix |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Component |  |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_IG1 | ,684 |  |
| IN_IG3 |  |  |
| IN_IG5_6_7 | ,655 |  |
| IN_IG8 |  | -,713 |
| IN_IG9 | ,819 |  |
| IN_IG10 |  |  |
| IN_IG11 |  | ,770 |
| IN_IG12 |  |  |
| IN_IG14 |  | ,539 |



Component score coefficient matrix

|  | Component |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 |  |
| IN_IG1 | , 337 | ,- 081 |
| IN_IG3 | , 120 | ,- 145 |
| IN_IG5_6_7 | , 277 | , 181 |
| IN_IG8 | , 123 | ,- 480 |
| IN_IG9 | , 408 | ,- 125 |
| IN_IG10 | , 152 | ,- 030 |
| IN_IG11 | ,- 074 | , 505 |
| IN_IG12 | , 237 | ,- 062 |
| IN_IG14 | , 146 | , 307 |

The principal component analysis is run again with all the variables that contribute to development, which have come out in the first factor in the previous analysis by policy.

Total variance explained

| Component | Initial eigenvalues |  |  | Extraction sums of squared loadings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% |
| 1 | 5,449 | 41,918 | 41,918 | 4,949 | 38,073 | 38,073 |
| 2 | 1,971 | 15,164 | 57,082 | 2,471 | 19,009 | 57,082 |
| 3 | 1,223 | 9,406 | 66,488 |  |  |  |
| 4 | ,806 | 6,201 | 72,689 |  |  |  |
| 5 | ,737 | 5,667 | 78,356 |  |  |  |
| 6 | ,703 | 5,406 | 83,762 |  |  |  |
| 7 | ,580 | 4,462 | 88,224 |  |  |  |
| 8 | ,462 | 3,555 | 91,778 |  |  |  |
| 9 | ,365 | 2,808 | 94,587 |  |  |  |
| 10 | ,293 | 2,252 | 96,839 |  |  |  |
| 11 | ,177 | 1,363 | 98,202 |  |  |  |
| 12 | ,143 | 1,100 | 99,302 |  |  |  |
| 13 | ,091 | ,698 | 100,000 |  |  |  |



| Component matrix |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Component |  |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_EDU5 | , 637 |  |
| IN_EDU11_REV | , 619 |  |
| IN_PS1 | , 556 | , 563 |
| IN_PS5 | , 708 |  |
| IN_PS8 | , 566 |  |
| IN_IG1 |  | , 742 |
| IN_IG5_6_7 |  | , 670 |
| IN_IG9 | , 800 | , 819 |
| IN_S2 | , 530 |  |
| IN_S3 | , 888 |  |
| IN_S11 | , 812 |  |
| IN_CIT6 | , 768 |  |
| IN_CIT13 |  |  |



| Component score coefficient matrix |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Component |  |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_EDU5 | , 165 | ,- 148 |
| IN_EDU11_REV | , 103 | , 091 |
| IN_PS1 | , 064 | , 196 |
| IN_PS5 | , 123 | , 083 |
| IN_PS8 | , 132 | ,- 073 |
| IN_IG1 | ,- 108 | , 353 |
| IN_IG5_6_7 | ,- 020 | , 281 |
| IN_IG9 | ,- 099 | , 381 |
| IN_S2 | , 153 | , 034 |
| IN_S3 | , 146 | ,- 157 |
| IN_S11 | , 186 | ,- 028 |
| IN_CIT6 | , 179 | ,- 061 |
| IN_CIT13 | , 149 | , 025 |

"Equality" policy ("IG" variables) is not enough represented among the final variables resulted from the principal component analysis, therefore the analysis is run again including this time all the "IG" variables.

Total variance explained

| Component | Initial eigenvalues |  |  | Extraction sums of squared loadings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative\% |
| 1 | 6,033 | 31,752 | 31,752 | 5,654 | 29,757 | 29,757 |
| 2 | 2,108 | 11,095 | 42,847 | 2,487 | 13,090 | 42,847 |
| 3 | 1,435 | 7,555 | 50,402 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1,248 | 6,567 | 56,969 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1,162 | 6,117 | 63,086 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1,034 | 5,442 | 68,528 |  |  |  |
| 7 | ,957 | 5,038 | 73,566 |  |  |  |
| 8 | ,797 | 4,194 | 77,760 |  |  |  |
| 9 | ,686 | 3,611 | 81,371 |  |  |  |
| 10 | ,641 | 3,376 | 84,747 |  |  |  |
| 11 | ,600 | 3,160 | 87,907 |  |  |  |
| 12 | ,555 | 2,920 | 90,827 |  |  |  |
| 13 | ,469 | 2,467 | 93,294 |  |  |  |
| 14 | ,352 | 1,854 | 95,148 |  |  |  |
| 15 | ,300 | 1,577 | 96,725 |  |  |  |
| 16 | ,265 | 1,397 | 98,122 |  |  |  |
| 17 | ,164 | ,861 | 98,983 |  |  |  |
| 18 | ,106 | ,558 | 99,541 |  |  |  |
| 19 | ,087 | ,459 | 100,000 |  |  |  |



| Component matrix |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Component |  |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_EDU5 | ,615 |  |
| IN_EDU11_REV | ,652 |  |
| IN_PS1 | ,626 | ,493 |
| IN_PS5 | ,750 |  |
| IN_PS8 | ,557 |  |
| IN_IG1 |  | ,697 |
| IN_IG3 |  |  |
| IN_IG5_6_7 | ,328 | ,641 |
| IN_IG8 |  |  |
| IN_IG9 |  | ,825 |
| IN_IG10 |  |  |
| IN_IG11 | ,383 |  |
| IN_IG12 |  | ,395 |
| IN_IG14 | ,522 | ,346 |
| IN_S2 | ,821 |  |
| $1 \mathrm{~N}_{-} \mathrm{S} 3$ | ,492 |  |
| IN_S11 | ,891 |  |
| IN_CIT6 | ,798 |  |
| IN_CIT13 | ,778 |  |



Component score coefficient matrix

|  | Component |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_EDU5 | , 140 | ,- 152 |
| IN_EDU11_REV | , 106 | , 044 |
| IN_PS1 | , 078 | , 162 |
| IN_PS5 | , 124 | , 042 |
| IN_PS8 | , 112 | ,- 066 |
| IN_IG1 | ,- 067 | , 312 |
| IN_IG3 | ,- 030 | , 074 |
| IN_IG5_6_7 | , 006 | , 255 |
| IN_IG8 | ,- 048 | ,- 009 |
| IN_IG9 | ,- 065 | , 362 |
| IN_IG10 | ,- 015 | , 107 |
| IN_IG11 | , 062 | , 029 |
| IN_IG12 | ,- 011 | , 164 |
| IN_IG14 | , 070 | , 106 |
| IN_S2 | , 145 | ,- 001 |
| IN_S3 | , 120 | ,- 159 |
| IN_S11 | , 171 | ,- 065 |
| IN_CIT6 | , 160 | ,- 090 |
| IN_CIT13 | , 139 | ,- 008 |

Finally, the analysis is run with all the variables that hinder the development which have come out in the first factor in the previous analysis by policy.

Total variance explained

| Component | Initial eigenvalues |  |  | Extraction sums of squared loadings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% |
| 1 | 3,930 | 30,231 | 30,231 | 3,930 | 30,229 | 30,229 |
| 2 | 1,944 | 14,957 | 45,188 | 1,945 | 14,959 | 45,188 |
| 3 | 1,310 | 10,080 | 55,268 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1,157 | 8,903 | 64,171 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1,133 | 8,712 | 72,883 |  |  |  |
| 6 | ,827 | 6,360 | 79,242 |  |  |  |
| 7 | ,714 | 5,490 | 84,732 |  |  |  |
| 8 | ,663 | 5,097 | 89,829 |  |  |  |
| 9 | ,505 | 3,885 | 93,714 |  |  |  |
| 10 | ,400 | 3,079 | 96,793 |  |  |  |
| 11 | ,234 | 1,796 | 98,590 |  |  |  |
| 12 | ,137 | 1,051 | 99,641 |  |  |  |
| 13 | ,047 | ,359 | 100,000 |  |  |  |





| Component score coefficient matrix |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Component |  |
| IN_EDU2_REV | 1 | 2 |
| IN_EDU3_REV | , 164 | , 097 |
| IN_EDU8_REV | , 092 | ,- 042 |
| IN_EDU9_REV | , 186 | ,- 088 |
| IN_EDU13_REV | , 216 | ,- 002 |
| IN_EDU14_REV | , 091 | ,- 019 |
| IN_PS9_REV | , 172 | ,- 064 |
| IN_ING2_REV | ,- 182 | , 082 |
| IN_IG4_REV | , 164 | , 214 |
| IN_S10_REV | ,- 023 | ,- 019 |
| IN_EM1_REV | , 023 | , 241 |
| IN_EM3_REV | ,- 042 | , 391 |
| IN_EM6_REV | ,- 015 | , 467 |

The analysis is run again with the same variables except PS9, as it shows a negative weight.

Total variance explained

| Component | Initial eigenvalues |  |  | Extraction sums of squared loadings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% |
| 1 | 3,495 | 29,124 | 29,124 | 3,493 | 29,112 | 29,112 |
| 2 | 1,924 | 16,033 | 45,157 | 1,925 | 16,045 | 45,157 |
| 3 | 1,308 | 10,904 | 56,061 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1,134 | 9,452 | 65,512 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1,050 | 8,748 | 74,260 |  |  |  |
| 6 | ,819 | 6,822 | 81,083 |  |  |  |
| 7 | ,688 | 5,734 | 86,817 |  |  |  |
| 8 | ,568 | 4,735 | 91,551 |  |  |  |
| 9 | ,498 | 4,151 | 95,702 |  |  |  |
| 10 | ,234 | 1,946 | 97,648 |  |  |  |
| 11 | ,175 | 1,458 | 99,105 |  |  |  |
| 12 | ,107 | ,895 | 100,000 |  |  |  |



Component matrix

|  | Component |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_EDU2_REV | ,631 |  |
| IN_EDU3_REV |  |  |
| IN_EDU8_REV | ,765 |  |
| IN_EDU9_REV | ,838 |  |
| IN_EDU13_REV |  |  |
| IN_EDU14_REV | ,672 |  |
| IN_ING2_REV | ,653 |  |
| IN_IG4_REV |  |  |
| IN_S10_REV |  |  |
| IN_EM1_REV |  | ,773 |
| IN_EM3_REV |  | ,892 |
| IN_EM6_REV | ,744 |  |



Component score coefficient matrix

| Component score coefficient matrix |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Component |  |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_EDU2_REV | , 179 | , 094 |
| IN_EDU3_REV | , 114 | ,- 061 |
| IN_EDU8_REV | , 221 | ,- 112 |
| IN_EDU9_REV | , 240 | ,- 012 |
| IN_EDU13_REV | , 120 | ,- 046 |
| IN_EDU14_REV | , 193 | ,- 076 |
| IN_ING2_REV | , 184 | , 204 |
| IN_IG4_REV | ,- 022 | ,- 020 |
| IN_S10_REV | , 019 | , 244 |
| IN_EM1_REV | ,- 059 | , 403 |
| IN_EM3_REV | ,- 014 | , 464 |
| IN_EM6_REV | , 212 | , 085 |

## C. 3 Global block

Total variance explained

| Component | Initial eigenvalues |  |  | Extraction sums of squared loadings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% |
| 1 | 6,316 | 23,394 | 23,394 | 5,868 | 21,733 | 21,733 |
| 2 | 3,190 | 11,814 | 35,207 | 3,638 | 13,474 | 35,207 |
| 3 | 2,169 | 8,033 | 43,240 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1,785 | 6,613 | 49,853 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1,449 | 5,367 | 55,220 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1,170 | 4,333 | 59,553 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1,144 | 4,237 | 63,789 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 1,095 | 4,057 | 67,846 |  |  |  |
| 9 | ,939 | 3,479 | 71,325 |  |  |  |
| 10 | ,835 | 3,094 | 74,419 |  |  |  |
| 11 | ,772 | 2,858 | 77,277 |  |  |  |
| 12 | ,763 | 2,824 | 80,102 |  |  |  |
| 13 | ,663 | 2,455 | 82,556 |  |  |  |
| 14 | ,577 | 2,138 | 84,694 |  |  |  |
| 15 | ,523 | 1,938 | 86,632 |  |  |  |
| 16 | ,492 | 1,821 | 88,452 |  |  |  |
| 17 | ,456 | 1,690 | 90,143 |  |  |  |
| 18 | ,417 | 1,545 | 91,688 |  |  |  |
| 19 | ,390 | 1,446 | 93,134 |  |  |  |
| 20 | ,316 | 1,169 | 94,303 |  |  |  |
| 21 | ,304 | 1,126 | 95,429 |  |  |  |
| 22 | ,262 | ,969 | 96,399 |  |  |  |
| 23 | ,251 | ,931 | 97,329 |  |  |  |
| 24 | ,242 | ,896 | 98,225 |  |  |  |
| 25 | ,214 | ,794 | 99,019 |  |  |  |
| 26 | ,149 | ,553 | 99,572 |  |  |  |
| 27 | ,115 | ,428 | 100,000 |  |  |  |



| Component matrix |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Component |  |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_J1 | ,275 | ,081 |
| IN_J2 | ,071 | ,469 |
| IN_J3_REV | -,694 | ,065 |
| IN_J4_5 | ,713 | ,307 |
| IN_J6 | ,752 | -,107 |
| IN_J7 | ,494 | ,735 |
| IN_J8 | ,663 | ,071 |
| IN_J9 | ,698 | -,125 |
| IN_J10 | ,321 | ,304 |
| IN_J13_14_15 | ,579 | ,084 |
| IN_PYS1_REV | ,622 | -,302 |
| IN_PYS3_REV | ,415 | -,419 |
| IN_PYS4_REV | ,210 | ,650 |
| IN_PYS6 | ,729 | ,097 |
| IN_PYS7 | ,393 | ,142 |
| IN_PYS8 | -,013 | -,503 |
| IN_PYS9 | -,231 | ,422 |
| IN_PYS12 | ,448 | ,160 |
| IN_M2 | ,315 | ,066 |
| IN_M3 | -,261 | ,082 |
| IN_M4_5 | ,305 | ,166 |
| IN_M6 | ,025 | ,657 |
| IN_M7_REV | ,089 | ,271 |
| IN_M8 | -,251 | ,588 |
| $\mathrm{IN}_{-} \mathrm{C}_{1}$ | ,498 | ,392 |
| $\mathrm{IN}_{-} \mathrm{C}_{3}$ | ,600 | ,520 |
| IN_C5 | ,373 | ,393 |



|  | Component |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_J1 | ,045 | ,009 |
| IN_J2 | -,013 | ,133 |
| IN_J3_REV | -,129 | ,057 |
| IN_J4_5 | ,112 | ,051 |
| IN_J6 | ,142 | -,072 |
| IN_J7 | ,049 | ,187 |
| IN_J8 | ,116 | -,015 |
| IN_J9 | ,133 | -,074 |
| IN_J10 | ,041 | ,071 |
| IN_J13_14_15 | ,100 | -,007 |
| IN_PYS1_REV | ,129 | -,122 |
| IN_PYS3_REV | ,098 | -,145 |
| IN_PYS4_REV | ,003 | ,178 |
| IN_PYS6 | ,126 | -,011 |
| IN_PYS7 | ,063 | ,020 |
| IN_PYS8 | ,025 | -,146 |
| IN_PYS9 | -,065 | ,135 |
| IN_PYS12 | ,072 | ,022 |
| IN_M2 | ,053 | ,002 |
| IN_M3 | -,052 | ,038 |
| IN_M4_5 | ,046 | ,032 |
| IN_M6 | -,031 | ,190 |
| IN_M7_REV | ,001 | ,074 |
| IN_M8 | -,077 | ,185 |
| IN_C1 | ,069 | ,087 |


| IN_C3 | , 080 | , 119 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IN_C5 | , 046 | , 094 |

For this block, the principal component analysis doesn't show any specific variable that contribute or hinder a country's development. Therefore the grouping of variables will be based on the meaning of each variable:

- Variables that contribute: J1, J2, J4, J5, J6, J7, J8, J9, J10, J13_14_15, PYS6, PYS7, PYS8, PYS12, M2, M3, M4_5, M6, M8, C1, C3, C5
- Variables that hinder: J3, PYS1, PYS3, PYS4, PYS9, M7

The principal component analysis is run again with the first group of variables.

Total variance explained

| Component | Initial eigenvalues |  |  | Extraction sums of squared loadings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% |
| 1 | 5,592 | 25,420 | 25,420 | 4,138 | 18,809 | 18,809 |
| 2 | 2,341 | 10,642 | 36,062 | 3,796 | 17,252 | 36,062 |
| 3 | 1,938 | 8,808 | 44,870 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1,544 | 7,020 | 51,890 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1,176 | 5,344 | 57,234 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1,160 | 5,272 | 62,506 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1,065 | 4,842 | 67,348 |  |  |  |
| 8 | ,939 | 4,268 | 71,616 |  |  |  |
| 9 | ,843 | 3,830 | 75,447 |  |  |  |
| 10 | ,706 | 3,208 | 78,655 |  |  |  |
| 11 | ,644 | 2,929 | 81,584 |  |  |  |
| 12 | ,622 | 2,826 | 84,410 |  |  |  |
| 13 | ,567 | 2,575 | 86,985 |  |  |  |
| 14 | ,480 | 2,184 | 89,169 |  |  |  |
| 15 | ,465 | 2,112 | 91,281 |  |  |  |
| 16 | ,419 | 1,906 | 93,187 |  |  |  |
| 17 | ,337 | 1,531 | 94,718 |  |  |  |
| 18 | ,324 | 1,475 | 96,193 |  |  |  |
| 19 | ,274 | 1,244 | 97,436 |  |  |  |
| 20 | ,249 | 1,133 | 98,569 |  |  |  |
| 21 | ,165 | ,749 | 99,318 |  |  |  |
| 22 | ,150 | ,682 | 100,000 |  |  |  |



| Component matrix ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Component |  |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_J1 | , 435 | ,- 088 |
| IN_J2 | , 007 | , 442 |
| IN_J4_5 | , 667 | , 440 |
| IN_J6 | , 817 | , 042 |
| IN_J7 | , 272 | , 820 |
| IN_J8 | , 721 | , 167 |
| IN_J9 | , 618 | , 145 |
| IN_J10 | , 407 | , 167 |
| IN_J13_14_15 | , 653 | , 121 |
| IN_PYS6 | , 539 | , 432 |
| IN_PYS7 | , 330 | , 271 |
| IN_PYS8 | ,- 008 | ,- 346 |
| IN_PYS12 | , 368 | , 281 |
| IN_M2 | , 155 | , 287 |
| IN_M3 | ,- 242 | ,- 009 |
| IN_M4_5 | , 218 | , 333 |
| IN_M6 | ,- 259 | , 776 |
| IN_M7_REV | , 120 | , 193 |
| IN_M8 | ,- 410 | , 511 |
| IN_C1 | , 356 | , 549 |
| IN_C3 | , 454 | , 665 |
| IN_C5 | , 149 | , 582 |



Component score coefficient matrix

|  | Component |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_J1 | ,137 | -,081 |
| IN_J2 | -,053 | ,139 |
| IN_J4_5 | ,139 | ,057 |
| IN_J6 | ,231 | -,087 |
| IN_J7 | -,022 | ,226 |
| IN_J8 | ,188 | -,036 |
| IN_J9 | ,161 | -,031 |
| IN_J10 | ,097 | ,003 |
| IN_J13_14_15 | ,174 | -,042 |
| IN_PYS6 | ,103 | ,070 |
| IN_PYS7 | ,062 | ,045 |
| IN_PYS8 | ,040 | -,108 |
| IN_PYS12 | ,072 | ,043 |
| IN_M2 | ,009 | ,072 |
| IN_M3 | -,069 | ,027 |
| IN_M4_5 | ,022 | ,078 |
| IN_M6 | -,171 | ,277 |
| IN_M7_REV | ,011 | ,046 |
| IN_M8 | -,182 | ,212 |
| $\mathrm{IN}_{-} \mathrm{C}_{1}$ | ,036 | ,129 |
| IN_C3 | ,050 | ,154 |
| IN_C5 | -,029 | ,166 |

Variable M3 shows a negative weight therefore it is excluded from the analysis.

Total variance explained

| Component | Initial eigenvalues |  |  | Extraction sums of squared loadings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% |
| 1 | 5,564 | 26,495 | 26,495 | 4,191 | 19,959 | 19,959 |
| 2 | 2,328 | 11,084 | 37,578 | 3,700 | 17,620 | 37,578 |
| 3 | 1,931 | 9,197 | 46,776 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1,543 | 7,346 | 54,122 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1,163 | 5,540 | 59,662 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1,104 | 5,255 | 64,917 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1,020 | 4,858 | 69,775 |  |  |  |
| 8 | ,850 | 4,049 | 73,824 |  |  |  |
| 9 | ,751 | 3,577 | 77,401 |  |  |  |
| 10 | ,655 | 3,120 | 80,520 |  |  |  |
| 11 | ,628 | 2,991 | 83,512 |  |  |  |
| 12 | ,573 | 2,729 | 86,241 |  |  |  |
| 13 | ,481 | 2,288 | 88,529 |  |  |  |
| 14 | ,465 | 2,213 | 90,742 |  |  |  |
| 15 | ,420 | 1,999 | 92,741 |  |  |  |
| 16 | ,360 | 1,716 | 94,457 |  |  |  |
| 17 | ,325 | 1,548 | 96,005 |  |  |  |
| 18 | ,274 | 1,306 | 97,311 |  |  |  |
| 19 | ,249 | 1,187 | 98,498 |  |  |  |
| 20 | ,165 | ,787 | 99,285 |  |  |  |
| 21 | ,150 | ,715 | 100,000 |  |  |  |



| Component matrix |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Component |  |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_J1 | ,450 | -,114 |
| IN_J2 | ,026 | ,437 |
| IN_J4_5 | ,678 | ,421 |
| IN_J6 | ,820 | ,018 |
| IN_J7 | ,299 | ,808 |
| IN_J8 | ,727 | ,145 |
| IN_J9 | ,624 | ,126 |
| IN_J10 | ,416 | ,149 |
| IN_J13_14_15 | ,655 | ,101 |
| IN_PYS6 | ,554 | ,417 |
| IN_PYS7 | ,327 | ,269 |
| IN_PYS8 | -,027 | -,338 |
| IN_PYS12 | ,380 | ,267 |
| IN_M2 | ,152 | ,291 |
| IN_M4_5 | ,224 | ,331 |
| IN_M6 | -,247 | ,789 |
| IN_M7_REV | ,125 | ,188 |
| IN_M8 | -,384 | ,516 |
| IN_C1 | ,372 | ,540 |
| IN_C3 | ,474 | ,651 |
| IN_C5 | ,169 | ,576 |



|  | Component |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_J1 | ,143 | -,093 |
| IN_J2 | -,047 | ,138 |
| IN_J4_5 | ,142 | ,053 |
| IN_J6 | ,232 | -,095 |
| IN_J7 | -,014 | ,225 |
| IN_J8 | ,190 | -,043 |
| IN_J9 | ,163 | -,036 |
| IN_J10 | ,100 | -,003 |
| IN_J13_14_15 | ,174 | -,048 |
| IN_PYS6 | ,107 | ,067 |
| IN_PYS7 | ,060 | ,047 |
| IN_PYS8 | ,034 | -,106 |
| IN_PYS12 | ,076 | ,039 |
| IN_M2 | ,007 | ,075 |
| IN_M4_5 | , 023 | ,080 |
| IN_M6 | -,168 | ,286 |
| IN_M7_REV | ,012 | ,046 |
| IN_M8 | -,173 | ,214 |
| IN_C1 | ,040 | ,129 |
| IN_C3 | ,055 | ,152 |
| IN_C5 | -,023 | ,165 |

The principal components analysis is run with the group of variables that hinder development.

Total variance explained

| Component | Initial eigenvalues |  |  | Extraction sums of squared loadings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% |
| 1 | 1,901 | 31,676 | 31,676 | 1,853 | 30,885 | 30,885 |
| 2 | 1,246 | 20,771 | 52,447 | 1,294 | 21,562 | 52,447 |
| 3 | 1,110 | 18,506 | 70,953 |  |  |  |
| 4 | ,772 | 12,859 | 83,812 |  |  |  |
| 5 | ,607 | 10,111 | 93,923 |  |  |  |
| 6 | ,365 | 6,077 | 100,000 |  |  |  |



| Component matrix ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Component |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |
| IN_J3_REV | ,- 608 |  |  |
| IN_PYS1_REV | , 831 |  |  |
| IN_PYS3_REV | , 708 |  |  |
| IN_PYS4_REV |  | , 625 |  |
| IN_PYS9 | ,- 512 | , 559 |  |
| IN_M7_REV |  | , 758 |  |



## Component score coefficient matrix

|  | Component |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 |  |
| IN_J3_REV | ,- 341 | ,- 137 |
| IN_PYS1_REV | , 451 | , 032 |
| IN_PYS3_REV | , 385 | , 038 |
| IN_PYS4_REV | , 138 | , 501 |
| IN_PYS9 | ,- 239 | , 401 |
| IN_M7_REV | , 038 | , 591 |

Variables J3 and PYS9 show a negative weight therefore they are excluded from the analysis.

Total variance explained

| Component | Initial eigenvalues |  |  | Extraction sums of squared loadings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% |
| 1 | 1,525 | 38,125 | 38,125 | 1,524 | 38,105 | 38,105 |
| 2 | 1,115 | 27,869 | 65,994 | 1,116 | 27,889 | 65,994 |
| 3 | ,993 | 24,824 | 90,818 |  |  |  |
| 4 | ,367 | 9,182 | 100,000 |  |  |  |



| Component matrix |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Component |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |
| IN_PYS1_REV | , 871 |  |  |
| IN_PYS3_REV | , 875 |  |  |
| IN_PYS4_REV |  | , 777 |  |
| IN_M7_REV |  | , 714 |  |



Component score coefficient matrix

| Component score coefficient matrix |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Component |  |  |
| IN_PYS1_REV | 1 | 2 |  |
| IN_PYS3_REV | , 572 | , 033 |  |
| IN_PYS4_REV | ,- 002 | ,- 024 |  |
| IN_M7_REV | , 011 | , 697 |  |

## C. 4 Environmental block

Total variance explained

| Component | Initial eigenvalues |  |  | Extraction sums of squared loadings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% |
| 1 | 5,075 | 22,067 | 22,067 | 5,038 | 21,906 | 21,906 |
| 2 | 2,346 | 10,198 | 32,265 | 2,383 | 10,359 | 32,265 |
| 3 | 1,848 | 8,033 | 40,298 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1,496 | 6,505 | 46,803 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1,369 | 5,951 | 52,754 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1,289 | 5,603 | 58,356 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1,169 | 5,083 | 63,439 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 1,088 | 4,733 | 68,172 |  |  |  |
| 9 | ,912 | 3,966 | 72,138 |  |  |  |
| 10 | ,849 | 3,693 | 75,831 |  |  |  |
| 11 | ,796 | 3,459 | 79,290 |  |  |  |
| 12 | ,774 | 3,367 | 82,657 |  |  |  |
| 13 | ,669 | 2,908 | 85,565 |  |  |  |
| 14 | ,602 | 2,617 | 88,182 |  |  |  |
| 15 | ,479 | 2,085 | 90,266 |  |  |  |
| 16 | ,434 | 1,886 | 92,152 |  |  |  |
| 17 | ,421 | 1,829 | 93,981 |  |  |  |
| 18 | ,378 | 1,643 | 95,624 |  |  |  |
| 19 | ,276 | 1,201 | 96,825 |  |  |  |
| 20 | ,269 | 1,172 | 97,997 |  |  |  |
| 21 | ,226 | ,982 | 98,978 |  |  |  |
| 22 | ,144 | ,627 | 99,605 |  |  |  |
| 23 | ,091 | ,395 | 100,000 |  |  |  |



| Component matrix |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Component |  |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_P1_REV2 | -,486 | -,045 |
| IN _P2 | ,723 | -,072 |
| IN_P3 | ,124 | -,194 |
| IN_P4 | ,673 | ,127 |
| IN_P6 | ,604 | ,023 |
| IN_P7 | ,038 | -,245 |
| IN_P8 | ,174 | ,383 |
| IN_P9 | ,653 | ,253 |
| IN_P11_REV | ,006 | ,266 |
| IN_DR6_REV | ,075 | -,454 |
| IN_DR7_REV | ,325 | ,549 |
| IN_DR9_REV | -,521 | ,436 |
| IN_DR10_REV | -,140 | -,049 |
| IN_DR11 | ,063 | ,340 |
| IN_DR12 | ,354 | ,466 |
| IN_B2_REV2 | -,815 | ,073 |
| IN_B3_REV | ,489 | -,049 |
| IN_B10 | ,182 | ,536 |
| IN_B12_REV | ,628 | ,106 |
| IN_EN1 | , 351 | ,543 |
| IN_EN2_REV2 | -,764 | -,053 |
| IN_EN4_REV | -,647 | ,575 |
| IN_EN5 | ,035 | -,116 |



|  | Component |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_P1_REV2 | -,096 | -,006 |
| IN_P2 | ,147 | -,050 |
| IN_P3 | ,030 | -,085 |
| IN_P4 | ,131 | ,036 |
| IN_P6 | ,120 | -,006 |
| IN_P7 | ,014 | -,105 |
| IN_P8 | ,025 | ,157 |
| IN_P9 | ,124 | ,090 |
| IN_P11_REV | -,006 | ,112 |
| IN_DR6_REV | ,027 | -,194 |
| IN_DR7_REV | , 051 | ,224 |
| IN_DR9_REV | -,116 | ,198 |
| IN_DR10_REV | -,027 | -,017 |
| IN_DR11 | ,004 | ,142 |
| IN_DR12 | ,059 | ,188 |
| IN_B2_REV2 | -,165 | ,053 |
| IN_B3_REV | ,099 | -,034 |
| IN_B10 | ,022 | ,222 |
| IN_B12_REV | ,123 | ,028 |
| IN_EN1 | ,056 | ,221 |
| IN_EN2_REV2 | -,151 | -,002 |
| IN_EN4_REV | -,145 | ,260 |
| IN_EN5 | ,010 | -,050 |

It can be seen that a group of variables contribute to development, while others (P1, EN2, EN4, B2, DR9 and DR10) hinder it. Because of their meaning, variable B3 and B12 are moved into the group of variables that hinder development.
The principal components analysis is run again with just the variables that contribute to development.

Total variance explained

| Component | Initial eigenvalues |  |  | Extraction sums of squared loadings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% |
| 1 | 3,028 | 20,188 | 20,188 | 2,348 | 15,655 | 15,655 |
| 2 | 1,575 | 10,503 | 30,691 | 2,255 | 15,036 | 30,691 |
| 3 | 1,463 | 9,754 | 40,446 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1,339 | 8,928 | 49,374 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1,137 | 7,580 | 56,954 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1,012 | 6,745 | 63,698 |  |  |  |
| 7 | ,956 | 6,371 | 70,070 |  |  |  |
| 8 | ,861 | 5,739 | 75,809 |  |  |  |
| 9 | ,735 | 4,901 | 80,710 |  |  |  |
| 10 | ,692 | 4,616 | 85,326 |  |  |  |
| 11 | ,589 | 3,924 | 89,250 |  |  |  |
| 12 | ,517 | 3,445 | 92,694 |  |  |  |
| 13 | ,456 | 3,040 | 95,734 |  |  |  |
| 14 | ,359 | 2,392 | 98,127 |  |  |  |
| 15 | ,281 | 1,873 | 100,000 |  |  |  |



| Component matrix |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Component |  |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_P2 | ,733 |  |
| IN_P3 |  |  |
| IN_P4 | ,647 |  |
| IN_P6 | ,727 |  |
| IN_P7 |  |  |
| IN_P8 |  |  |
| IN_P9 | ,670 |  |
| IN_P11_REV |  |  |
| IN_DR6_REV |  | -,510 |
| IN_DR7_REV |  | ,538 |
| IN_DR11 |  |  |
| IN_DR12 |  | ,623 |
| IN_B10 |  |  |
| IN_EN1 |  | ,670 |
| IN_EN5 |  |  |

Gráfico de componente en espacio rotado


## Component score coefficient matrix

|  | Component |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 |  |
| IN_P2 | 2 |  |
| IN_P3 | , 340 | ,- 088 |
| IN_P4 | , 221 | ,- 204 |
| IN_P6 | , 343 | , 024 |
| IN_P7 | ,, 073 | ,- 116 |
| IN_P8 | , 009 | , 174 |
| IN_P9 | , 266 | , 064 |
| IN_P11_REV | ,- 017 | , 100 |
| IN_DR6_REV | , 191 | ,- 287 |
| IN_DR7_REV | , 054 | , 221 |
| IN_DR11 | , 012 | , 125 |
| IN_DR12 | ,- 005 | , 278 |
| IN_B10 | ,- 001 | , 220 |
| IN_EN1 | ,- 025 | , 305 |
| IN_EN5 | , 048 | ,- 082 |

The principal component analysis is now run with the variables that hinder development: P1, EN2, EN4, B2, B3, B12, DR9 and DR10.

Total variance explained

| Component | Initial eigenvalues |  |  | Extraction sums of squared loadings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% |
| 1 | 3,151 | 39,390 | 39,390 | 2,806 | 35,070 | 35,070 |
| 2 | 1,203 | 15,032 | 54,422 | 1,548 | 19,351 | 54,422 |
| 3 | 1,057 | 13,207 | 67,628 |  |  |  |
| 4 | ,893 | 11,164 | 78,792 |  |  |  |
| 5 | ,657 | 8,218 | 87,010 |  |  |  |
| 6 | ,478 | 5,972 | 92,982 |  |  |  |
| 7 | ,312 | 3,903 | 96,886 |  |  |  |
| 8 | ,249 | 3,114 | 100,000 |  |  |  |



Component matrix

|  | Component |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 |
|  | IN_P1_REV2 |  |
| IN_DR9_REV |  |  |
| IN_DR10_REV |  | , 539 |
| IN_B2_REV2 | , 821 | , 926 |
| IN_B3_REV | ,- 540 |  |
| IN_B12_REV | ,- 572 |  |
| IN_EN2_REV2 | , 741 |  |
| IN_EN4_REV | , 768 |  |



## Component score coefficient matrix

|  | Component |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 |  |
|  | 2 |  |
| IN_P1_REV2 | , 042 | , 294 |
| IN_DR9_REV | , 091 | , 304 |
| IN_DR10_REV | ,- 251 | , 719 |
| IN_B2_REV2 | , 315 | ,- 086 |
| IN_B3_REV | ,- 241 | , 183 |
| IN_B12_REV | ,- 187 | ,- 064 |
| IN_EN2_REV2 | , 264 | , 000 |
| IN_EN4_REV | , 271 | , 010 |

Variables B3 and B12 shows negative weights, therefore they are excluded from the analysis.

Total variance explained

| Component | Initial eigenvalues |  |  | Extraction sums of squared loadings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% |
| 1 | 2,738 | 45,627 | 45,627 | 2,535 | 42,243 | 42,243 |
| 2 | 1,173 | 19,543 | 65,170 | 1,376 | 22,926 | 65,170 |
| 3 | 1,003 | 16,722 | 81,892 |  |  |  |
| 4 | ,483 | 8,048 | 89,940 |  |  |  |
| 5 | ,333 | 5,551 | 95,491 |  |  |  |
| 6 | ,271 | 4,509 | 100,000 |  |  |  |



| Component matrix |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Component |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 |  |
| IN_P1_REV2 |  | , 509 |  |
| IN_DR9_REV | , 606 |  |  |
| IN_DR10_REV |  |  |  |
| IN_B2_REV2 | , 858 |  |  |
| IN_EN2_REV2 | , 760 |  |  |
| IN_EN4_REV | , 845 |  |  |



Component score coefficient matrix

|  | Component |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 |  |

## C. 5 Industry and infrastructures block

Total variance explained

| Component | Initial eigenvalues |  |  | Extraction sums of squared loadings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% |
| 1 | 4,460 | 24,777 | 24,777 | 4,381 | 24,339 | 24,339 |
| 2 | 2,490 | 13,836 | 38,613 | 2,569 | 14,274 | 38,613 |
| 3 | 1,725 | 9,582 | 48,195 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1,580 | 8,778 | 56,973 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1,142 | 6,346 | 63,319 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1,120 | 6,223 | 69,541 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1,004 | 5,576 | 75,117 |  |  |  |
| 8 | ,829 | 4,604 | 79,720 |  |  |  |
| 9 | ,670 | 3,723 | 83,444 |  |  |  |
| 10 | ,568 | 3,154 | 86,598 |  |  |  |
| 11 | ,531 | 2,950 | 89,548 |  |  |  |
| 12 | ,437 | 2,431 | 91,979 |  |  |  |
| 13 | ,395 | 2,194 | 94,172 |  |  |  |
| 14 | ,320 | 1,780 | 95,952 |  |  |  |
| 15 | ,262 | 1,457 | 97,409 |  |  |  |
| 16 | ,195 | 1,085 | 98,494 |  |  |  |
| 17 | ,147 | ,816 | 99,310 |  |  |  |
| 18 | ,124 | ,690 | 100,000 |  |  |  |



| Component matrix |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Component |  |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_U4_REV | , 026 | , 722 |
| IN_U5_REV | , 422 | ,- 233 |
| IN_T1_REV | ,- 641 | ,- 178 |
| IN_T2_REV | , 039 | ,- 375 |
| IN_T4 | , 145 | , 278 |
| IN_IT2_REV | , 565 | ,- 030 |
| IN_IT3 | , 841 | ,- 106 |
| IN_IT4 | , 735 | ,- 171 |
| IN_IT6_REV | , 422 | ,- 487 |
| IN_IT10 | , 184 | , 027 |
| IN_IN1 | , 666 | , 041 |
| IN_IN2_REV | , 618 | , 287 |
| IN_IN4_REV | ,- 305 | ,- 638 |
| IN_IN5_REV | ,- 625 | ,- 173 |
| IN_IN6_REV | ,- 483 | , 639 |
| IN_IN8_REV | ,- 667 | , 264 |
| IN_IN9_REV | ,- 005 | , 233 |
| IN_IN7 | ,- 057 | , 641 |



## Component score coefficient matrix

|  | Component |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 |
|  | , 031 | , 286 |
| IN_U5_REV | , 090 | ,- 077 |
| IN_T1_REV | ,- 154 | ,- 093 |
| IN_T2_REV | ,- 004 | ,- 147 |
| IN_T4 | , 043 | , 115 |
| IN_IT2_REV | , 130 | , 008 |
| IN_IT3 | , 191 | ,- 012 |
| IN_IT4 | , 164 | ,- 042 |
| IN_IT6_REV | , 081 | ,- 177 |
| IN_IT10 | , 043 | , 017 |
| IN_IN1 | , 156 | , 039 |
| IN_IN2_REV | , 153 | , 134 |
| IN_IN4_REV | ,- 093 | ,- 262 |
| IN_IN5_REV | ,- 151 | ,- 090 |
| IN_IN6_REV | ,- 089 | , 235 |
| IN_IN8_REV | ,- 145 | , 081 |
| IN_IN9_REV | , 007 | , 092 |
| IN_IN7 | , 009 | , 251 |

It can be seen that a group of variables contribute to a country's development, while others (IN4, IN5, IN6, IN8 and T1) hinder it. Because of their meaning, variable U4, U5, IT2, IT6, IN2 are moved into the group of variables that hinder development.

The principal components analysis is run again with just the variables that contribute to development.

Total variance explained

| Component | Initial eigenvalues |  |  | Extraction sums of squared loadings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% |
| 1 | 2,113 | 26,408 | 26,408 | 2,064 | 25,802 | 25,802 |
| 2 | 1,343 | 16,790 | 43,199 | 1,392 | 17,397 | 43,199 |
| 3 | 1,325 | 16,565 | 59,764 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1,028 | 12,854 | 72,618 |  |  |  |
| 5 | ,735 | 9,193 | 81,811 |  |  |  |
| 6 | ,694 | 8,673 | 90,484 |  |  |  |
| 7 | ,591 | 7,382 | 97,866 |  |  |  |
| 8 | ,171 | 2,134 | 100,000 |  |  |  |



| Component matrix |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Component |  |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_T2_REV |  |  |
| IN_T4 | , 910 |  |
| IN_IT3 | , 865 |  |
| IN_IT4 |  |  |
| IN_IT10 | , 561 |  |
| IN_IN1 |  | , 516 |
| IN_IN9_REV |  | , 597 |
| IN_IN7 |  |  |



| Component score coefficient matrix |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Component |  |  |
| IN_T2_REV | 1 | 2 |  |
| IN_T4 | , 026 | , 223 |  |
| IN_IT3 | ,- 066 | , 493 |  |
| IN_IT4 | , 441 | , 000 |  |
| IN_IT10 | , 423 | ,- 043 |  |
| IN_IN1 | ,- 012 | , 372 |  |
| IN_IN9_REV | , 248 | , 256 |  |
| IN_IN7 | ,- 099 | , 442 |  |
|  | ,- 201 | , 181 |  |

The principal component analysis is now run with the variables that hinder development: U4, U5, IT2, IT6, IN2, IN4, IN5, IN6, IN8 and T1.

Total variance explained

| Component | Initial eigenvalues |  |  | Extraction sums of squared loadings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% |
| 1 | 2,891 | 28,913 | 28,913 | 2,621 | 26,212 | 26,212 |
| 2 | 2,010 | 20,096 | 49,010 | 2,280 | 22,798 | 49,010 |
| 3 | 1,250 | 12,500 | 61,510 |  |  |  |
| 4 | ,950 | 9,503 | 71,013 |  |  |  |
| 5 | ,783 | 7,826 | 78,839 |  |  |  |
| 6 | ,617 | 6,173 | 85,013 |  |  |  |
| 7 | ,508 | 5,076 | 90,088 |  |  |  |
| 8 | ,423 | 4,226 | 94,315 |  |  |  |
| 9 | ,360 | 3,605 | 97,920 |  |  |  |
| 10 | ,208 | 2,080 | 100,000 |  |  |  |



Component matrix

| Component matrix |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Component |  |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_U4_REV |  | , 590 |
| IN_U5_REV |  |  |
| IN_T1_REV | , 666 |  |
| IN_IT2_REV | ,- 544 |  |
| IN_IT6_REV |  | ,- 517 |
| IN_IN2_REV | ,- 736 |  |
| IN_IN4_REV | , 543 | ,- 630 |
| IN_IN5_REV | , 695 |  |
| IN_IN6_REV |  | , 816 |
| IN_IN8_REV |  | , 605 |

Gráfico de componente en espacio rotado


| Component score coefficient matrix |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Component |  |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_U4_REV | ,- 105 | , 278 |
| IN_U5_REV | ,- 134 | ,- 120 |
| IN_T1_REV | , 253 | , 005 |
| IN_IT2_REV | ,- 198 | ,- 064 |
| IN_IT6_REV | ,- 086 | ,- 211 |
| IN_IN2_REV | ,- 305 | , 160 |
| IN_IN4_REV | , 257 | ,- 322 |
| IN_IN5_REV | , 267 | ,- 011 |
| IN_IN6_REV | , 031 | , 352 |
| IN_IN8_REV | , 146 | , 239 |

Variables IT2 and IN2 show negative weights; therefore they are excluded from the analysis.

Total variance explained

| Component | Initial eigenvalues |  |  | Extraction sums of squared loadings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% |
| 1 | 2,537 | 31,708 | 31,708 | 2,259 | 28,236 | 28,236 |
| 2 | 1,641 | 20,513 | 52,221 | 1,919 | 23,985 | 52,221 |
| 3 | 1,059 | 13,237 | 65,459 |  |  |  |
| 4 | ,886 | 11,076 | 76,534 |  |  |  |
| 5 | ,642 | 8,019 | 84,554 |  |  |  |
| 6 | ,502 | 6,272 | 90,826 |  |  |  |
| 7 | ,426 | 5,326 | 96,151 |  |  |  |
| 8 | ,308 | 3,849 | 100,000 |  |  |  |



Component matrix

|  | Component |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | 1 |  |  |
|  |  | 2 |  |
| IN_U5_REV | ,- 542 |  |  |
| IN_T1_REV | , 734 |  |  |
| IN_IT6_REV |  |  |  |
| IN_IN4_REV |  |  |  |
| IN_IN5_REV | , 718 |  |  |
| IN_IN6_REV |  |  |  |
| IN_IN8_REV | , 716 |  |  |



## Component score coefficient matrix

|  | Component |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_U4_REV | ,- 085 | , 401 |
| IN_U5_REV | ,- 230 | ,- 053 |
| IN_T1_REV | , 353 | ,- 168 |
| IN_IT6_REV | ,- 132 | ,- 214 |
| IN_IN4_REV | , 173 | ,- 393 |
| IN_IN5_REV | , 349 | ,- 168 |
| IN_IN6_REV | , 140 | , 330 |
| IN_IN8_REV | , 296 | , 114 |

Variables $\mathrm{U}_{5}$ shows negative weights therefore it is excluded from the analysis.

Total variance explained

| Component | Initial eigenvalues |  |  | Extraction sums of squared loadings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% | Total | \% of variance | Cumulative \% |
| 1 | 2,327 | 33,243 | 33,243 | 2,018 | 28,822 | 28,822 |
| 2 | 1,629 | 23,273 | 56,516 | 1,939 | 27,694 | 56,516 |
| 3 | ,920 | 13,140 | 69,657 |  |  |  |
| 4 | ,830 | 11,851 | 81,507 |  |  |  |
| 5 | ,512 | 7,321 | 88,828 |  |  |  |
| 6 | ,457 | 6,531 | 95,359 |  |  |  |
| 7 | ,325 | 4,641 | 100,000 |  |  |  |




Gráfico de componente en espacio rotado


Component score coefficient matrix

|  | Component |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| IN_U4_REV | ,- 143 | , 395 |
| IN_T1_REV | , 388 | ,- 132 |
| IN_IT6_REV | ,- 135 | ,- 232 |
| IN_IN4_REV | , 179 | ,- 379 |
| IN_IN5_REV | , 398 | ,- 132 |
| IN_IN6_REV | , 165 | , 350 |
| IN_IN8_REV | , 324 | , 147 |

Before moving on with the index's computation, missing values have to be imputed; this is done by assigning to the missing value the average value from the geographic group to which the country belongs. The following exceptions are applied:

- For variable IN5, to Canada is imputed the value of the USA.
- For variable B2, to Cyprus is imputed the value of Greece.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Esta variable incluye las siguientes variables: $J_{13}$ : Does a woman's testimony carry the same evidentiary weight in court as a man's? $J_{14}$ : Can a married woman convey citizenship to her non-national spouse in the same way as a man?. $J_{15}$ : Are married women required by law to obey their husbands?

